English Literature Series for Schools General Editor:—J. H. Fowler, M.A.

THE CROWN OF WILD OLIVE





MACMILLAN AND CO., LIMITED LONDON · BOMBAY · CALCUTTA · MADRAS MELBOURNE

THE MACMILLAN COMPANY

NEW YORK . BOSTON . CHICAGO DALLAS . SAN FRANCISCO

THE MACMILLAN CO. OF CANADA, LTD.

The Crown of Wild Olive

Three Lectures on Industry & War

_{By} John Ruskin

"And indeed it should have been of gold, had not Jupiter been so poor."—Aristophanes (Plutus).

Edited for Schools by J. H. Fowler

MACMILLAN AND CO., LIMITED ST. MARTIN'S STREET, LONDON

COPYRIGHT.

First Edition 1919. Reprinted 1920, 1921.

GLASGOW; PRINTED AT THE UNIVERSITY PRESS BY ROBERT MACLEHOSE AND CO. LTD.

CONTENTS

Introduction	PAGE
I. LIFE OF RUSKIN	vii
II. THE THREE LECTURES	viii
III. Ruskin's Style	xi
Author's Preface	1
LECTURE I. WORK	13
,, II. TRAFFIC	41
,, III. WAR	65
Notes	97
QUESTIONS	102
Subjects for Essays	104
HELPS TO FURTHER STUDY	104

INTRODUCTION

I. LIFE OF RUSKIN

JOHN RUSKIN was born in London on 8th February, 1819, the son of a Scotch wine-merchant of literary and artistic tastes. The story of his early years should be read in his own charming reminiscences, Praeterita. In 1823 the Ruskin family went to live at Herne Hill, Dulwich. John was taught by a private tutor and at a day-school, but learnt most from the continental tours which he shared with his parents and from his boyish reading of "the Bible, Pope's Homer, Scott and the great poets." He had few companions and few games. He lived, as he said of himself afterwards, "a very small, perky, contented, conceited Cock-Robinson-Crusoe sort of life, in the central point which it appeared to me that I occupied in the universe." In later years he felt keenly what he had missed through the isolation of his boyhood: "my strength was not exercised, my patience never tried, and my courage never fortified." At the age of seventeen he wrote an essay in praise of the artist J. M. W. Turner, whom he afterwards interpreted so brilliantly in Modern Painters. January 1837 he went up to Christ Church, Oxford. His Oxford career was interrupted by ill-health, and he was obliged to give up reading for honours; but he won the Newdigate Prize for English Verse. He took his degree in 1841, and 1843 saw the publication of the first volume of his great work, Modern Painters. The fifth and last was not finished till 1860.

His successive works show the gradual broadening and deepening of his mind, and the acquisition of many new interests. In religion he had been brought up on strict evangelical lines, and was, besides, of a devout nature. During early manhood and middle life he passed through a long period of intellectual conflict and scepticism; but his belief in religion deepened with years, though his mystical interpretations of the Bible would probably satisfy no sect of Christian believers. To his interest in Turner

and in certain younger contemporaries (the Pre-Raphaelite painters) succeeded the study of mediaeval architecture, of the great Italian painters, of Greek art; he also studied and wrote about geology, mineralogy and botany. The Seven Lamps of Architecture was published in 1849, The Stones of Venice in 1851 and 1853. The chapter on the nature of Gothic in the latter contains a clear exposition of his favourite doctrine that the workman ought to be an artist and the artist a workman, that the mechanical and unintelligent reproduction of the designs of others involves the worker's degradation.

From 1860 onwards the artistic interest was manifestly subordinate to the ethical conviction that art is only valuable in so far as it is the wholesome expression of a nation's joy in a wholesome life and a beautiful world. Ruskin's teaching about art, science and morality might be summed up in the words of Tennyson's Palace of Art:

That Beauty, Good and Knowledge are three sisters . . . And never can be sundered without tears.

As a moral and political philosopher, after long being subject to ridicule and misinterpretation, he has been even more influential than as a writer on art. From 1870 to 1878 he was Slade Professor of Fine Art at Oxford, and again in 1883-4. In 1871 he bought a house in the Lake District-Brantwood, on the shore of Coniston Water, and in full view of the mountain called 'Coniston Old Man.' Here he lived till his death in January 1900.

II. THE THREE LECTURES

In the 'sixties' of last century—the mid-Victorian period as it has come to be called—the great changes brought about by the Industrial Revolution were already showing their effects. England had become a country of huge busy over-crowded towns, continually encroaching upon and destroying the green fields upon their margins; wealth was growing fast, but it 'rested in mounded heaps,' 1 enriching the few instead of alleviating the lot of the toiling multitudes; power had been taken from the aristocracy by the Reform Bill of 1832, but it had passed to the middle

1 Tennyson, The Golden Year.

classes, the prosperous tradespeople of the towns, not to the artisans, who, in the infancy of the trades-unions, were still very much at the mercy of their employers, and who were not for many years to make full use of the enfranchisement so soon to be given them by the Reform Bill of 1867. The middle classes, thriving themselves and enjoying the additional comforts which the development of trade, manufactures and mechanical inventions had brought within their reach, were disposed to believe that all was well; that commercial intercourse would bind the nations together and put an end to wars; and that with the increase of trade and the spread of education, crime and poverty would gradually diminish, if they did not altogether disappear. As one's own prosperity increases, it is so easy and so comforting to believe that all the world's prosperity must increase likewise!

Ruskin could not share this complacent self-satisfaction. He saw that all was not well even with the prosperous classes. were being deadened in soul by the wealth they loved. They were wholly blind to the fearful cost at which it was being wonto the destruction of the beauty of the country, which was gradually but surely removing some of the most purifying and uplifting influences that can work upon the hearts of men, and to the soul-destroying drudgery and monotony of the mechanical toil to which the boasted inventions of the century were condemning the vast majority of the population. He saw that, in spite of talk about peace, wars were not dying out: there was civil war in America: 1 there were wars in Europe, and if England held aloof, it was little to her credit-she had blustered, and then betrayed those who had relied upon her support: the piling-up of armaments had begun-to what end?: nation was vying with nation in applying the skill of engineers to the invention of ever more terrible weapons of destruction. How could he rejoice in the mechanical triumphs of the century when the whole sky to the horizon was blackening with clouds of impending disaster?

So these three lectures, on Work, Traffic and War, are an arraignment of the vaunted civilisation of the nineteenth century: the Work that was a curse instead of a blessing, because it was ill-directed, devoted to the production of things that were ugly,

superfluous, trivial or even deadly, work in which the workman could take no joy; the Traffic that was not fostered for the good of mankind, but merely for the merchant's gain, the worship of the cruel goddess of 'Getting-on'; the War in which the professional army was to carry out for wages the bidding of a nation of merchants, themselves remote from the peril and suffering of warfare. The falsity of the comfortable doctrine of the political economists, "To do the best for yourself, is finally to do the best for others" (p. 61) is relentlessly shown; the hollowness of a religion which is scrupulous about Sunday observance and belief in the literal truth of Scripture and careless about the fulfilment of God's commands to do justice and mercy (p. 30); the failure of a civilisation whose ignoble architecture contrasts so unfavourably with the glorious works of earlier ages.

It is the function of the literary satirist to disturb the self-esteem of civilised society and uncover the ugly scars which it would fain hide from its own eyes. Thus Persius and Juvenal did to imperial Rome, and Swift in Gulliver's Travels to the England of Queen Anne. But the satirist is content to expose the festering sores and point at them the finger of scorn; or at the best he seeks to win us to join him in laughing at our own follies. The prophet sets himself another and a loftier task. He denounces sin and threatens doom; but he preaches repentance, and paints in glowing and attractive colours his vision of the heavenly city which those who labour faithfully and unselfishly may help to build upon the earth. The satirist leaves us disillusioned and depressed; the prophet breathes into us a new spirit, a self-effacing zeal; he awakens us to nobler life with his trumpet-call:

Bring me my bow of burning gold!

Bring me my arrows of desire!

Bring me my spear! O clouds, unfold!

Bring me my Chariot of Fire!

I will not cease from mental fight,

Nor shall my sword sleep in my hand,

Till we have built Jerusalem

In England's green and pleasant land." 1

1 W. Blake, Prophetic Books.

Since these lectures were delivered, the tendencies against which the speaker warned his hearers have had time to work out their consequences far more fully. The gulf between classes has widened, the jealousies between nations have increased. The catastrophe of a world-war has brought things to pass more terrible than the prophet's imagination foretold (p. 40). Yet Ruskin's teaching has not been in vain. If the horrors of a class-war have not been added in England to the sufferings of a , war of national defence, we have largely to thank those men and women who have applied his instructions to the bettering of public life in a hundred ways. Nor is the usefulness of his teaching exhausted now. If a new and nobler civilisation is to be built upon the ruins of the old, it must be by the strenuous and determined application to the problems of the time of the principles here laid down. The ideals of truth, beauty, justice, cheerful and self-sacrificing labour for the good of the community, must reign in men's hearts; the worship of Mammon must be abandoned. Then and only then will the prophetic vision of "a new heaven and a new earth" be fulfilled

It was Ruskin's way to choose fanciful but charmingly poetical titles for his books—The Seven Lamps, The Eagle's Nest, Sesame and Lilies. The "crown of wild olive" was the reward of the victor in the Greek games, materially worthless, rich in spiritual symbol. So as a title to these lectures it signified what men should strive for in the work of the world, in its traffic and in its war—not the gold that perishes, but the approval of "all-judging Jove":

As he pronounces lastly on each deed, Of so much praise in heaven expect the meed. 1

To that final verdict Ruskin is always appealing, by that standard he would have us test all our thoughts and actions.

III. RUSKIN'S STYLE

In any history of English prose Ruskin must occupy a prominent place. He is certainly one of the greatest masters of English prose style. Language is a flexible instrument in his hand, and

he bends it to many uses-argument, pictorial description, eulogy, invective, persuasion, passionate appeal. We may notice, (1) the richness of his diction: his words seem to flow in a full torrent: yet a study of the manuscript of one of his lectures,1 with its frequent erasures and 'second thoughts,' would show that he did not take the first word that presented itself, but rejected and selected with scrupulous care: the apparent ease of style is largely an art that has learnt 'to conceal art.' Among the influences on his language and style we may specially mention the seventeenth-century divines, Hooker and Jeremy Taylor, and above all the Authorised Version of the Bible, from which he is constantly quoting, often deliberately, sometimes perhaps unconsciously. (2) His wonderful descriptive power, unequalled anywhere else in English prose. Only loving observation and the trained eye of the landscape-artist and the student of painting could make possible such a description as that of the Carshalton pools at the beginning of the Preface. (3) Poetical imagination, sometimes inspiring a whole elaborate picture like that of the women of Europe looking down on an amphitheatre of contending armies (p. 72), more often concentrated in a single phrase, as in the description of Wharnside, "this moorland hollow, torrentbitten, snow-blighted" (p. 48), or of the steersman "holding a collier's helm in a gale on a lee shore" (p. 27), or of the sun's play "with the mists below and the clouds above, with a ray here and a flash there, and a shower of jewels everywhere "(p. 39). (4) Conversational ease, which relieves the gravity of the lectures with a touch of colloquialism, as in the epithet 'peacocky' (p. 85), or of playful dialogue (p. 58), yet never violates taste by a jarring note of vulgarity. In this Ruskin had learnt much from Plato, the greatest master of Greek prose, from whom he beautifully

¹ Clifton boys can see such a manuscript in their school library; but a comparison of earlier and later editions of *The Crown of Wild Olive* is almost equally interesting and profitable. Ruskin's scrupulously careful revision removed the few blemishes and obscurities of expression to be found in the original printed text. *E.g.*, on p. 2, 1l. 4-8, the revised version runs: "which, having neither energy to cart away nor decency enough to dig into the ground, they thus shed into the stream"; and on p. 63, 1, 21, the revised version omits the words, "So ended are the," and the sentence begins "Last words. . . .

translates a passage in Lecture II. (p. 62). (5) Irony, another Platonic quality: as when Justice "in her dull stupid way" suggests to the well-to-do British citizens they should "every other Sunday" leave their own child to sweep the crossing and "take the little sweeper to church in a hat and feathers" (p. 31); or as in the picture of the suburban gentleman spending all his money on steel-traps (p. 46); or as in the decoration and coat-ofarms suggested for the new Bradford Exchange (p. 57). (6) Some of the figures of speech by which oratory, like poetry, moves the hearts of men, swaying their emotions by subtle influences of sound, rhythm, association. Almost any page will give us examples of Alliteration, e.g. "the deserted hearth and the fruitless field " (p. 73), where the recurrence of t and d throughout should be noticed as well as the more obvious alliteration of the initial letter f; or of (7) the effect of Repetition, as of 'your' on p. 55, or of 'captain' on p. 81; or of (8) deliberate Hyperbole, as in "merely broke the china upon your own drawing-room tables" (p. 94); or of (9) Poetic Cadence, sought and then, as it would seem, skilfully avoided just at the point where the prose is running dangerously near to verse-

To starve and parch, through days of torture, down (In) to clots of clay (p. 77):

or, lastly, (10), of *Biblical Phrases* recalled, to add a touch of solemnity and authority to the earnest appeal, as in the conclusion to the Preface and to each of the three lectures.

NOTE

The text of this edition follows, in the main, that of the first edition. A few obvious slips have been corrected, and some short passages excised by Ruskin from later editions have here also been omitted. To some of the later editions Ruskin added a fourth lecture, The Future of England.

THE CROWN OF WILD OLIVE

PREFACE

TWENTY years ago, there was no lovelier piece of lowland scenery in South England, nor any more pathetic, in the world, by its expression of sweet human character and life, than that immediately bordering on the sources of the Wandle. and including the lower moors of Addington, and the villages of Beddington and Carshalton, with all their pools and streams. No clearer or diviner waters ever sang with constant lips of * the hand which "giveth rain from heaven"; no pastures ever lightened in spring time with more passionate blossoming; no sweeter homes ever hallowed the heart of the passer-by 10 with their pride of peaceful gladness-tain-hidden-vet fullconfessed. The place remains, or, until a few months ago, remained, nearly unchanged in its larger features; but, with deliberate mind I say, that I have never seen anything so ghastly in its inner tragic meaning,—not in Pisan Maremma, not by Campagna tomb, -not by the sand-isles of the Torcellan shore,—as the slow stealing of aspects of reckless, indolent, animal neglect, over the delicate sweetness of that English scene: nor is any blasphemy or impiety—any frantic saying or godless thought—more appalling to me, using the 20 best power of judgment I have to discern its sense and scope, than the insolent defiling of those springs by the human herds that drink of them. Just where the welling of stainless water, trembling and pure, like a body of light, enters the pool of Carshalton, cutting itself a radiant channel down to the gravel, through warp of feathery weeds, all waving, which it traverses with its deep threads of clearness, like the chalcedony in moss-agate, starred here and there with the white grenouilc.w.o.

lette; just in the very rush and murmur of the first spreading currents, the human wretches of the place cast their street and house foulness; heaps of dust and slime, and broken shreds of old metal, and rags of putrid clothes; they having neither energy to cart it away, nor decency enough to dig it into the ground, thus shed into the stream, to diffuse what venom of it will float and melt, far away, in all places where God meant those waters to bring joy and health. And, in a little pool, behind some houses farther in the village, where 10 another spring rises, the shattered stones of the well, and of the little fretted channel which was long ago built and traced for it by gentler hands, lie scattered, each from each. under a ragged bank of mortar, and scoria, and bricklayers' refuse, on one side, which the clean water nevertheless chastises to · purity; but it cannot conquer the dead earth beyond: and there, circled and coiled under festering scum, the stagnant edge of the pool effaces itself into a slope of black slime, the accumulation of indolent years. Half-a-dozen men, with one day's work, could cleanse those pools, and trim the flowers 20 about their banks, and make every breath of summer air above them rich with cool balm; and every glittering wave medicinal, as if it ran, troubled of angels, from the porch of Bethesda. But that day's work is never given, nor will be; nor will any joy be possible to heart of man, for evermore, about those wells of English waters.

When I last left them, I walked up slowly through the back streets of Croydon, from the old church to the hospital; and, just on the left, before coming up to the crossing of the High Street, there was a new public-house built. And the 30 front of it was built in so wise manner, that a recess of two feet was left below its front windows, between them and the street pavement—a recess too narrow for any possible use, (for even if it had been occupied by a seat, as in old time it might have been, everybody walking along the street would have fallen over the legs of the reposing wayfarers). But, by way of making this two feet depth of freehold land more

expressive of the dignity of an establishment for the sale of spirituous liquors, it was fenced from the pavement by an imposing iron railing, having four or five spear-heads to the yard of it, and six feet high; containing as much iron and iron-work, indeed, as could well be put into the space; and by this stately arrangement, the little piece of dead ground within, between wall and street, became a protective receptacle of refuse; cigar ends, and oyster shells, and the like, such as an open-handed English street populace habitually scatters; and was thus left, unsweepable by any 10 ordinary methods. Now the iron bars which, uselessly, (or in great degree worse than uselessly) enclosed this bit of ground, and made it pestilent, represented a quantity of work which would have cleansed the Carshalton pools three times over; -of work, partly cramped and deadly, in the mine; partly fierce 1 and exhaustive, at the furnace; partly foolish and sedentary, of ill-taught students making bad designs: work from the beginning to the last fruits of it, and in all branches of it, venomous, deathful, and miserable. Now, how did it come to pass that this work was done instead 20 of the other; that the strength and life of the English operative were spent in defiling ground, instead of redeeming it: and in producing an entirely (in that place) valueless piece of metal, which can neither be eaten nor breathed, instead of medicinal fresh air and pure water?

There is but one reason for it, and at present a conclusive

^{1&}quot;A fearful occurrence took place a few days since, near Wolverhampton. Thomas Snape, aged nineteen, was on duty as the 'keeper' of a blast furnace at Deepfield, assisted by John Gardner, aged eighteen, and Joseph Swift, aged thirty-seven. The furnace contained four tons of molten iron, and an equal amount of cinders, and ought to have been run out at 7.30 P.M. But Snape and his mates, engaged in talking and drinking, neglected their duty, and, in the meantime, the iron rose in the furnace until it reached a pipe wherein water was contained. Just as the men had stripped, and were proceeding to tap the furnace, the water in the pipe, converted into steams burst down its front and let loose on them the molten metal, which instantaneously consumed Gardner; Snape, terribly burnt, and mad with pain, leaped into the canal and then ran home and fell dead on the threshold; Swift survived to reach the hospital, where he died too.'

heavy ones.

one,—that the capitalist can charge per-centage on the work in the one case, and cannot in the other. If, having certain funds for supporting labour at my disposal, I pay men merely to keep my ground in order, my money is, in that function, spent once for all; but if I pay them to dig iron out of my ground, and work it, and sell it, I can charge rent for the ground, and per-centage both on the manufacture and the sale, and make my capital profitable in these three bye-ways. The greater part of the profitable investment of capital, in the 10 present day, is in operations of this kind, in which the public is persuaded to buy something of no use to it, on production or sale of which the capitalist may charge per-centage; the said public remaining all the while under the persuasion that the per-centages thus obtained are real national gains, whereas, they are merely filchings out of light pockets, to swell

Thus, the Croydon publican buys the iron railing, to make himself more conspicuous to drunkards. The public-housekeeper on the other side of the way presently buys another 20 railing, to out-rail him with. Both are, as to their relative attractiveness to customers of taste, just where they were before; but they have lost the price of the railings; which they must either themselves finally lose, or make their aforesaid customers of taste pay, by raising the price of their beer, or adulterating it. Either the publicans, or their customers, are thus poorer by precisely what the capitalist has gained; and the value of the work itself, meantime, has been lost to the nation; the iron bars in that form and place being wholly useless. It is this mode of taxation of the poor 30 by the rich which is referred to in the text (page 25), in comparing the modern acquisitive power of capital with that of the lance and the sword; the only difference being that the

levy of blackmail in old times was by force, and is now by cozening. The old rider and reiver frankly quartered himself on the publican for the night;—the modern one merely makes his lance into an iron spike, and persuades his host to buy it.

One comes as an open robber, the other as a cheating pedlar; but the result, to the injured person's pocket, is absolutely the same. Of course many useful industries mingle with, and disguise the useless ones; and in the habits of energy aroused by the struggle, there is a certain direct good. It is far better to spend four thousand pounds in making a good gun, and then to blow it to pieces, than to pass life in idleness. Only do not let it be called "political economy."

There is also a confused notion in the minds of many persons, that the gathering of the property of the poor into the hands of 10 the rich does no ultimate harm; since, in whosesoever hands it may be, it must be spent at last, and thus, they think, return to the poor again. This fallacy has been again and again exposed; but grant the plea true, and the same apology may, of course, be made for blackmail, or any other form of robbery. It might be (though practically it never is) as advantageous for the nation that the robber should have the spending of the money he extorts, as that the person robbed should have spent it. But this is no excuse for the theft. If I were to put a turnpike on the road where it passes 20 my own gate, and endeavour to exact a shilling from every passenger, the public would soon do away with my gate. without listening to any plea on my part that "it was as advantageous to them, in the end, that I should spend their shillings, as that they themselves should." But if, instead of outfacing them with a turnpike, I can only persuade them to come in and buy stones, or old iron, or any other useless thing, out of my ground, I may rob them to the same extent, and be, moreover, thanked as a public benefactor, and promoter of commercial prosperity. And this main 30 question for the poor of England-for the poor of all countries-is wholly omitted in every common treatise on the subject of wealth. Even by the labourers themselves, the operation of capital is regarded only in its effect on their immediate interests; never in the far more terrific power of its appointment of the kind and the object of labour. It

matters little, ultimately, how much a labourer is paid for making anything; but it matters fearfully what the thing is, which he is compelled to make. If his labour is so ordered as to produce food, and fresh air, and fresh water, no matter that his wages are low; -the food and fresh air and water will be at last there; and he will at last get them. But if he is paid to destroy food and fresh air, or to produce iron bars instead of them,-the food and air will finally not be there, and he will not get them, to his great and final

10 inconvenience.

I have been long accustomed, as all men engaged in work of investigation must be, to hear my statements laughed at for years, before they are examined or believed; and I am generally content to wait the public's time. But it has not been without displeased surprise that I have found myself totally unable, as yet, by any repetition, or illustration, to force this plain thought into my readers' heads,—that the wealth of nations, as of men, consists in substance, not in ciphers; and that the real good of all work, and of all com-20 merce, depends on the final worth of the thing you make, or get by it. This is a practical enough statement, one would think: but the English public has been so possessed by its modern school of economists with the notion that Business is always good, whether it be busy in mischief or in benefit: and that buying and selling are always salutary, whatever the intrinsic worth of what you buy or sell,—that it seems impossible to gain so much as a patient hearing for any enquiry respecting the substantial result of our eager modern labours. I have never felt more checked by the sense of 30 this impossibility than in arranging the heads of the following three lectures, which, though delivered at considerable intervals of time, and in different places, were not prepared without reference to each other. Their connection would. *however, have been made far more distinct, if I had not been prevented, by what I feel to be another great difficulty in addressing English audiences, from enforcing, with any

decision, the common, and to me the most important, part of their subjects. I chiefly desired to question my hearers-operatives, merchants, and soldiers, as to the ultimate meaning of the business they had in hand; and to know from them what they expected or intended their manufacture to come to, their selling to come to, and their killing to come to. That appeared the first point needing determination before I could speak to them with any real utility or effect. "You craftsmen-salesmenswordsmen,-do but tell me clearly what you want; then, 10 if I can say anything to help you, I will; and if not, I will account to you as I best may for my inability." But in order to put this question into any terms, one had first of all to face the difficulty just spoken of-to me for the present insuperable,—the difficulty of knowing whether to address one's audience as believing, or not believing, in any other world than this. For if you address any average modern English company as believing in an Eternal life, and endeavour to draw any conclusions, from this assumed belief, as to their present business, they will forthwith tell you that 20 "what you say is very beautiful, but it is not practical." If, on the contrary, you frankly address them as unbelievers in Eternal life, and try to draw any consequences from that unbelief,—they immediately hold you for an accursed person, and shake off the dust from their feet at you. And the more I thought over what I had got to say, the less I found I could say it, without some reference to this intangible or intractable part of the subject. It made all the difference, in asserting any principle of war, whether one assumed that a discharge of artillery would merely knead down a certain quantity of 30 red clay into a level line, as in a brick-field; or whether, out of every separately Christian-named portion of the ruinous heap, there went out, into the smoke and dead-fallen air of battle, some astonished condition of soul, unwillingly released. It made all the difference, in speaking of the possible range of commerce, whether one assumed that all bargains related

only to visible property—or whether property, for the present invisible, but nevertheless real, was elsewhere purchasable on other terms. It made all the difference, in addressing a body of men subject to considerable hardship, and having to find some way out of it—whether one could confidently say to them, "My friends,-you have only to die, and all will be right"; or whether one had any secret misgiving that such advice was more blessed to him that gave, than to him that took it. And therefore the deliberate reader 10 will find, throughout these lectures, a hesitation in driving points home, and a pausing short of conclusions which he will feel I would fain have come to; hesitation which arises wholly from this uncertainty of my hearers' temper. For I do not now speak, nor have I ever spoken, since the time of first forward youth, in any proselytizing temper, as desiring to persuade any one of what, in such matters, I thought myself; but, whomsoever I venture to address, I take for the time his creed as I find it; and endeavour to push it into such vital fruit as it seems capable of. Thus, 20 it is a creed with a great part of the existing English people, that they are in possession of a book which tells them, straight from the lips of God, all they ought to do, and need to know. I have read that book, with as much care as most of them. for some forty years; and am thankful that, on those who trust it, I can press its pleadings. My endeavour has been uniformly to make them trust it more deeply than they do; trust it, not in their own favourite verses only, but in the sum of all; trust it, not as a fetish or talisman, which they are to be saved by daily repetitions of; but as a Cap-30 tain's order, to be heard and obeyed at their peril. I was always encouraged by supposing my hearers to hold such belief. To these, if to any, I once had hope of addressing, with acceptance, words which insisted on the guilt of pride, and the futility of avarice; from these, if from any, I once expected ratification of a political economy, which asserted

that the life was more than the meat, and the body than

raiment; and these, it once seemed to me, I might ask, without accusation of fanaticism, not merely in doctrine of the lips, but in the bestowal of their heart's treasure, to separate themselves from the crowd of whom it is written, "After all these things do the Gentiles seek."

It cannot, however, be assumed, with any semblance of reason, that a general audience is now wholly, or even in majority, composed of these religious persons. A large portion must always consist of men who admit no such creed; or who, at least, are inaccessible to appeals founded on it. 10 And as, with the so-called Christian, I desired to plead for honest declaration and fulfilment of his belief in life.—with the so-called Infidel, I desired to plead for an honest declaration and fulfilment of his belief in death. The dilemma is inevitable. Men must either hereafter live, or hereafter die; fate may be bravely met, and conduct wisely ordered, on either expectation; but never in hesitation between ungrasped hope, and unconfronted fear. We usually believe in immortality, so far as to avoid preparation for death; and in mortality, so far as to avoid preparation for anything 20 after death. Whereas, a wise man will at least hold himself prepared for one or other of two events, of which one or other is inevitable; and will have all things in order, for his sleep, or in readiness, for his awakening.

Nor have we any right to call it an ignoble judgment, if he determine to put them in order, as for sleep. A brave belief in life is indeed an enviable state of mind, but, as far as I can discern, an unusual one. I know few Christians so convinced of the splendour of the rooms in their Father's house, as to be happier when their friends are called to those 30 mansions, than they would have been if the Queen had sent for them to live at court: nor has the Church's most ardent "desire to depart, and be with Christ," ever cured it of the singular habit of putting on mourning for every person summoned to such departure. On the contrary, a brave belief in death has been assuredly held by many not ignoble

persons; and it is a sign of the last depravity in the Church itself, when it assumes that such a belief is inconsistent with either purity of character, or energy of hand. The shortness of life is not, to any rational person, a conclusive reason for wasting the space of it which may be granted him: nor does the anticipation of death to-morrow suggest, to any one but a drunkard, the expediency of drunkenness to-day. To teach that there is no device in the grave, may indeed make the deviceless person more contented in his 10 dulness: but it will make the deviser only more earnest in devising: nor is human conduct likely, in every case, to be purer, under the conviction that all its evil may in a moment be pardoned, and all its wrong-doing in a moment redeemed; and that the sigh of repentance, which purges the guilt of the past, will waft the soul into a felicity which forgets its pain,—than it may be under the sterner, and to many not unwise minds, more probable, apprehension, that "what a man soweth that shall he also reap "-or others reap,when he, the living seed of pestilence, walketh no more in 20 darkness, but lies down therein.

But to men whose feebleness of sight, or bitterness of soul, or the offence given by the conduct of those who claim higher hope, may have rendered this painful creed the only possible one, there is an appeal to be made, more secure in its ground than any which can be addressed to happier persons. I would fain, if I might offencelessly, have spoken to them as if none others heard; and have said thus: Hear me, you dving men, who will soon be deaf for ever. For these others, at your right hand and your left, who look forward to a 30 state of infinite existence, in which all their errors will be overruled, and all their faults forgiven; for these, who, stained and blackened in the battle smoke of mortality, have but to dip themselves for an instant in the font of death, and to rise renewed of plumage, as a dove that is covered with silver, and her feathers like gold; for these, indeed, it may be permissible to waste their numbered moments, through

faith in a future of innumerable hours; to these, in their weakness, it may be conceded that they should tamper with sin which can only bring forth fruit of righteousness, and profit by the iniquity which, one day, will be remembered no more. In them, it may be no sign of hardness of heart to neglect the poor, over whom they know their Master is watching; and to leave those to perish temporarily, who cannot perish eternally. But, for you, there is no such hope, and therefore no such excuse. This fate, which you ordain for the wretched, you believe to be all their inheritance; 10 you may crush them, before the moth, and they will never rise to rebuke you; -their breath, which fails for lack of food, once expiring, will never be recalled to whisper against you a word of accusing; -they and you, as you think, shall lie down together in the dust, and the worms cover you ;and for them there shall be no consolation, and on you no vengeance,-only the question murmured above your grave: "Who shall repay him what he hath done?" Is it therefore easier for you in your heart to inflict the sorrow for which there is no remedy? Will you take, wantonly, this little 20 all of his life from your poor brother, and make his brief hours long to him with pain? Will you be readier to the injustice which can never be redressed; and niggardly of mercy which you can bestow but once, and which, refusing, you refuse for ever? I think better of you, even of the most selfish, than that you would do this, well understood. And for yourselves, it seems to me, the question becomes not less grave, in these curt limits. If your life were but a fever fit,—the madness of a night, whose follies were all to be forgotten in the dawn, it might matter little how you 30 fretted away the sickly hours,—what toys you snatched at, or let fall,-what visions you followed wistfully with the deceived eyes of sleepless phrenzy. Is the earth only an hospital? Play, if you care to play, on the floor of the hospital dens. Knit its straw into what crowns please you; gather the dust of it for treasure, and die rich in that,

clutching at the black motes in the air with your dying hands ;-and vet, it may be well with you. But if this life be no dream, and the world no hospital; if all the peace and power and joy you can ever win, must be won now: and all fruit of victory gathered here, or never; -will you still, throughout the puny totality of your life, weary yourselves in the fire for vanity? If there is no rest which remaineth for you, is there none you might presently take? was this grass of the earth made green for your shroud only. 10 not for your bed? and can you never lie down upon it, but only under it? The heathen, to whose creed you have returned, thought not so. They knew that life brought its contest, but they expected from it also the crown of all contest: No proud one! no jewelled circlet flaming through Heaven above the height of the unmerited throne; only some few leaves of wild olive, cool to the tired brow, through a few years of peace. It should have been of gold, they thought; but Jupiter was poor; this was the best the god could give them. Seeking a greater than this, they had 20 known it a mockery. Not in war, not in wealth, not in tyranny, was there any happiness to be found for themonly in kindly peace, fruitful and free. The wreath was to be of wild olive, mark you:—the tree that grows carelessly. tufting the rocks with no vivid bloom, no verdure of branch; only with soft snow of blossom, and scarcely fulfilled fruit, mixed with grey leaf and thorn-set stem; no fastening of diadem for you but with such sharp embroidery! But this, such as it is, you may win while yet you live; type of grey honour and sweet rest.1 Free-heartedness, and gracious-30 ness, and undisturbed trust, and requited love, and the sight. of the peace of others, and the ministry to their pain;—these, and the blue sky above you, and the sweet waters and flowers of the earth beneath; and mysteries and presences, innumerable, of living things,—these may yet be here your riches; untormenting and divine: serviceable for the life that now

is; nor, it may be, without promise of that which is to come.

1 μελιτόεσσα, ἀέθλων γ' ἔνεκεν, a honey cake given as a reward.

LECTURE I.—WORK

(Delivered before the Working Men's Institute, at Camberwell)

My Friends,-I have not come among you to-night to endeavour to give you an entertaining lecture; but to tell you a few plain facts, and ask you some plain, but necessary, questions. I have seen and known too much of the struggle for life among our labouring population, to feel at ease, under any circumstances, in inviting them to dwell on the trivialities of my own studies; but, much more, as I meet tonight, for the first time, the members of a working Institute established in the district in which I have passed the greater part of my life, I am desirous that we should understand 10 each other, on graver matters. I would fain tell you, with what feelings, and with what hope, I regard this Institution, as one of many such, now happily established throughout England, as well as in other countries; - Institutions which are preparing the way for a great change in all the circumstances of industrial life; but of which the success must wholly depend upon our clearly understanding the circumstances and necessary limits of this change. No teacher can truly promote the cause of education, until he knows the conditions of the life for which that education is to prepare 20 his pupil. And the fact that he is called upon to address you, nominally, as a "Working Class," must compel him, if he is in any wise earnest or thoughtful, to enquire in the outset, on what you yourselves suppose this class distinction has been founded in the past, and must be founded in the future. The manner of the amusement, and the matter of the teaching, which any of us can offer you, must depend wholly on our first understanding from you, whether you

think the distinction heretofore drawn between working men and others, is truly or falsely founded. Do you accept it as it stands? do you wish it to be modified? or do you think the object of education is to efface it, and make us forget it for ever?

Let me make myself more distinctly understood. call this-you and I-a "Working Men's" Institute, and our college in London, a "Working Men's" College. Now, how do you consider that these several institutes differ, or 10 ought to differ, from "idle men's" institutes and "idle men's" colleges ? Or by what other word than "idle" shall I distinguish those whom the happiest and wisest of working men do not object to call the "Upper Classes"? Are there really upper classes,—are there lower? How much should they always be elevated, how much always depressed? And, gentlemen and ladies-I pray those of you who are here to forgive me the offence there may be in what I am going to say. It is not I who wish to say it. Bitter voices say it; voices of battle and of famine through all the world, which 20 must be heard some day, whoever keeps silence. Neither is it to you specially that I say it. I am sure that most now present know their duties of kindness, and fulfil them, better perhaps than I do mine. But I speak to you as representing your whole class, which errs, I know, chiefly by thoughtlessness, but not therefore the less terribly. Wilful error is limited by the will, but what limit is there to that of which we are unconscious?

Bear with me, therefore, while I turn to these workmen, and ask them, also as representing a great multitude, what 30 they think the "upper classes" are, and ought to be, in relation to them. Answer, you workmen who are here, as you would among yourselves, frankly; and tell me how you would have me call those classes. Am I to call them—would you think me right in calling them—the idle classes? I think you would feel somewhat uneasy, and as if I were not treating my subject honestly, or speaking from my

heart, if I went on under the supposition that all rich people were idle. You would be both unjust and unwise if you allowed me to say that;—not less unjust than the rich people who say that all the poor are idle, and will never work if they can help it, or more than they can help.

For indeed the fact is, that there are idle poor and idle rich; and there are busy poor and busy rich. Manv a beggar is as lazy as if he had ten thousand a year; and many a man of large fortune is busier than his errand-boy, and never would think of stopping in the street to play marbles. 10 So that, in a large view, the distinction between workers and idlers, as between knaves and honest men, runs through the very heart and innermost economies of men of all ranks and in all positions. There is a working class-strong and happy,—among both rich and poor; there is an idle class weak, wicked, and miserable, -among both rich and poor. And the worst of the misunderstandings arising between the two orders come of the unlucky fact that the wise of one class habitually contemplate the foolish of the other. If the busy rich people watched and rebuked the idle rich 20 people, all would be right; and if the busy poor people watched and rebuked the idle poor people, all would be right. But each class has a tendency to look for the faults of the other. A hard-working man of property is particularly offended by an idle beggar; and an orderly, but poor, workman is naturally intolerant of the licentious luxury of the rich. And what is severe judgment in the minds of the just men of either class, becomes fierce enmity in the unjustbut among the unjust only. None but the dissolute among the poor look upon the rich as their natural enemies, or desire 30 to pillage their houses and divide their property. None but the dissolute among the rich speak in opprobrious terms of the vices and follies of the poor.

There is, then, no class distinction between idle and industrious people; and I am going to-night to speak only of the industrious. The idle people we will put out of our thoughts

at once—they are mere nuisances—what ought to be done with them, we'll talk of at another time. But there are class distinctions among the industrious themselves;—tremendous distinctions, which rise and fall to every degree in the infinite thermometer of human pain and human power,—distinctions of high and low, of lost and won, to the whole reach of man's soul and body.

These separations we will study, and the laws of them, among the energetic men only, who, whether they work or 10 whether they play, put their strength into the work, and their strength into the game; being in the full sense of the word "industrious," one way or another,—with a purpose, or without. And these distinctions are mainly four:

I. Between those who work, and those who play.

II. Between those who produce the means of life, and those who consume them.

III. Between those who work with the head, and those who work with the hand.

IV. Between those who work wisely, and who work foolishly.

20 For easier memory, let us say we are going to oppose, in our examination,—

I. Work to play;

II. Production to consumption;

III. Head to hand; and,

IV. Sense to nonsense.

I. First, then, of the distinction between the classes who work and the classes who play. Of course we must agree upon a definition of these terms,—work and play,—before going farther. Now, roughly, not with vain subtlety of 30 definition, but for plain use of the words, "play" is an exertion of body or mind, made to please ourselves, and with no determined end; and work is a thing done because it ought to be done, and with a determined end. You play, as you call it, at cricket, for instance. That is as hard work

as anything else; but it amuses you, and it has no result but the amusement. If it were done as an ordered form of exercise, for health's sake, it would become work directly. So, in like manner, whatever we do to please ourselves, and only for the sake of the pleasure, not for an ultimate object, is "play," the "pleasing thing," not the useful thing. Play may be useful, in a secondary sense; (nothing is indeed more useful or necessary); but the use of it depends on its being spontaneous.

Let us, then, enquire together what sort of games the play- 10

ing class of England spend their lives in playing at.

The first of all English games is making money. That is an all-absorbing game; and we knock each other down oftener in playing at that, than at foot-ball, or any other roughest sport: and it is absolutely without purpose; no one who engages heartily in that game ever knows why. Ask a great money-maker what he wants to do with his money. -he never knows. He doesn't make it to do anything with it. He gets it only that he may get it. "What will you make of what you have got?" you ask. "Well, I'll get 20 more," he says. Just as, at cricket, you get more runs. There's no use in the runs, but to get more of them than other people is the game. And there's no use in the money, but to have more of it than other people is the game. So all that great foul city of London there,-rattling, growling, smoking, stinking,—a ghastly heap of fermenting brickwork, pouring out poison at every pore,-you fancy it is a city of work? Not a street of it! It is a great city of play; very nasty play, and very hard play, but still play. It is only Lord's cricket ground without the turf :-- a huge billiard 30 table without the cloth, and with pockets as deep as the bottomless pit; but mainly a billiard table, after all.

Well, the first great English game is this playing at counters. It differs from the rest in that it appears always to be producing money, while every other game is expensive. But it does not always produce money. There's a great difference

between "winning" money and "making" it: a great difference between getting it out of another man's pocket into ours, or filling both.

Our next great English game, however, hunting and shooting, is costly altogether; and how much we are fined for it annually in land, horses, gamekeepers, and game laws, and all else that accompanies that beautiful and special English game, I will not endeavour to count now; but note only that, except for exercise, this is not merely a useless game, 10 but a deadly one, to all connected with it. For through horse-racing, you get every form of what the higher classes everywhere call "Play," in distinction from all other plays; that is,-gambling; by no means a beneficial or recreative game: and, through game-preserving, you get also some curious laying out of ground; that beautiful arrangement of dwelling-house for man and beast, by which we have grouse and blackcock-so many brace to the acre, and men and women-so many brace to the garret. I often wonder what the angelic builders and surveyors—the angelic builders 20 who build the "many mansions," up above there; and the angelic surveyors, who measured that four-square city with their measuring reeds-I wonder what they think, or are supposed to think, of the laying out of ground by this nation, which has set itself, as it seems, literally to accomplish, word for word, or rather fact for word, in the persons of those poor whom its Master left to represent him, what that Master said of himself-that foxes and birds had homes, but He

Then, next to the gentlemen's game of hunting, we must 30 put the ladies' game of dressing. It is not the cheapest of games. I saw a brooch at a jeweller's in Bond Street a fortnight ago, not an inch wide, and without any singular jewel in it, yet worth £3000. And I wish I could tell you what this "play" costs, altogether, in England, France, and Russia annually. But it is a pretty game, and on certain terms, I like it; nay, I don't see it played quite as much as

I would fain have it. You ladies like to lead the fashion: by all means lead it—lead it thoroughly, lead it far enough. Dress yourselves nicely, and dress everybody else nicely. Lead the fashions for the poor first; make them look well, and you yourselves will look, in ways of which you have now no conception, all the better. The fashions you have set for some time among your peasantry are not pretty ones; their doublets are too irregularly slashed, and the wind blows too frankly through them.

Then there are other games, wild enough, as I could show 10 you if I had time.

There's playing at literature, and playing at art-very different, both, from working at literature, or working at art, but I've no time to speak of these. I pass to the greatest of all—the play of plays, the great gentleman's game, which ladies like them best to play at,—the game of War. It is entrancingly pleasant to the imagination; the facts of it, not always so pleasant. We dress for it, however, more finely than for any other sport; and go out to it, not merely in searlet, as to hunt, but in searlet and gold, and all manner 20 of fine colours: of course we could fight better in grey, and without feathers; but all nations have agreed that it is good to be well dressed at this play. Then the bats and balls are very costly; our English and French bats, with the balls and wickets, even those which we don't make any use of, costing, I suppose, now about fifteen millions of money annually to each nation 1; all which you know is paid for by hard labourer's work in the furrow and furnace. A costly game !not to speak of its consequences; I will say at present nothing of these. The mere immediate cost of all these plays 30 is what I want you to consider; they all cost deadly work somewhere, as many of us know too well. The jewel-cutter, whose sight fails over the diamonds; the weaver, whose arm fails over the web; the iron-forger, whose breath fails before the furnace-they know what work is-they, who

¹ These figures refer to 1864.

have all the work, and none of the play, except a kind they have named for themselves down in the black north country, where "play" means being laid up by sickness. It is a pretty example for philologists, of varying dialect, this change in the sense of the word "play," as used in the black country of Birmingham, and the red and black country of Baden Baden. Yes, gentlemen, and gentlewomen, of England, who think "one moment unamused a misery, not made for feeble man," this is what you have brought the word 10 "play" to mean in the heart of merry England! You may have your fluting and piping; but there are sad children sitting in the market-place, who indeed cannot say to you, "We have piped unto you, and ye have not danced": but eternally shall say to you, "We have mourned unto you, and

ve have not lamented."

This, then, is the first distinction between the "upper and lower" classes. And this is one which is by no means necessary; which indeed must, in process of good time, be by all honest men's consent abolished. Men will be taught that 20 an existence of play, sustained by the blood of other creatures, is a good existence for gnats and sucking fish; but not for men: that neither days, nor lives, can be made holy by doing nothing in them: that the best prayer at the beginning of a day is that we may not lose its moments; and the best grace before meat, the consciousness that we have justly earned our dinner. And when we have this much of plain Christianity preached to us again, and enough respect what we regard as inspiration, as not to think that "Son, go work to-day in my vineyard," means "Fool, go play to-day in my 50 vineyard," we shall all be workers, in one way or another; and this much at least of the distinction between "upper" and "lower" forgotten.

II. I pass then to our second distinction; between the rich and poor, between Dives and Lazarus,—distinction which exists more sternly, I suppose, in this day, than ever in the world, Pagan or Christian, till now. I will put it sharply

before you, to begin with, merely by reading two paragraphs which I cut from two papers that lay on my breakfast table on the same morning, the 25th of November, 1864. The piece about the rich Russian at Paris is common-place enough, and stupid besides; (for fifteen francs,-12s. 6d.,-is nothing for a rich man to give for a couple of peaches, out of season). Still, the two paragraphs printed on the same day are worth putting side by side.

"Such a man is now here. He is a Russian, and, with your permission, we will call him Count Teufelskine. In dress 10 he is sublime; art is considered in that toilet, the harmony of colour respected, the chiar' oscuro evident in well-selected contrasts. In manners he is dignified—nay, perhaps apathetic; nothing disturbs the placid serenity of that calm exterior. One day our friend breakfasted chez Bignon. When the bill came he read, 'Two peaches, 15 f.' He paid. 'Peaches scarce, I presume?' was his sole remark. 'No, sir.' replied the waiter, 'but Teufelskines are.' "-Telegraph, November 25, 1864.

"Yesterday morning, at eight o'clock, a woman, passing 20 a dung heap in the stone yard near the recently-erected almshouses in Shadwell Gap, High Street, Shadwell, called the attention of a Thames police-constable to a man in a sitting position on the dung heap, and said she was afraid he was dead. Her fears proved to be true. The wretched creature appeared to have been dead several hours. He had perished of cold and wet, and the rain had been beating down on him all night. The deceased was a bone-picker. He was in the lowest stage of poverty, poorly clad, and half-starved. The police had frequently driven him away from the stone yard, 30 between sunset and sunrise, and told him to go home. He selected a most desolate spot for his wretched death. A penny and some bones were found in his pockets. The deceased was between fifty and sixty years of age. Inspector Roberts, of the K division, has given directions for enquiries to be made at the lodging-houses respecting the deceased, to

ascertain his identity if possible."—Morning Post, November 25, 1864.

You have the separation thus in brief compass; and I want you to take notice of the "a penny and some bones were found in his pockets," and to compare it with this third statement, from the *Telegraph* of January 16th of this year:—

Again, the dietary scale for adult and juvenile paupers was drawn up by the most conspicuous political economists in England. It is low in quantity, but it is sufficient to 10 support nature; yet within ten years of the passing of the Poor Law Act, we heard of the paupers in the Andover Union gnawing the scraps of putrid flesh and sucking the marrow from the bones of horses which they were employed to crush."

You see my reason for thinking that our Lazarus of Christianity has some advantage over the Jewish one. Jewish Lazarus expected, or at least prayed, to be fed with crumbs from the rich man's table; but our Lazarus is fed with crumbs

from the dog's table.

Now this distinction between rich and poor rests on two 20 bases. Within its proper limits, on a basis which is lawful and everlastingly necessary; beyond them, on a basis unlawful, and everlastingly corrupting the framework of society. The lawful basis of wealth is, that a man who works should be paid the fair value of his work; and that if he does not choose to spend it to-day, he should have free leave to keep it, and spend it to-morrow. Thus, an industrious man working daily, and laying by daily, attains at last the possession of an accumulated sum of wealth, to which he has absolute right. The idle person who will not work, and the wasteful 30 person who lays nothing by, at the end of the same time will be doubly poor-poor in possession, and dissolute in moral habit; and he will then naturally covet the money which the other has saved. And it he is then allowed to attack the other, and rob him of his well-earned wealth, there is no more any motive for saving, or any reward for good conduct; and all society is thereupon dissolved, or exists only in systems

of rapine. Therefore the first necessity of social life is the clearness of national conscience in enforcing the law—that he should keep who has JUSTLY EARNED.

That law, I say, is the proper basis of distinction between rich and poor. But there is also a false basis of distinction: namely, the power held over those who earn wealth by those who levy or exact it. There will be always a number of men who would fain set themselves to the accumulation of wealth as the sole object of their lives. Necessarily, that class of men is an uneducated class, inferior in intellect, and more 10 or less cowardly. It is physically impossible for a welleducated, intellectual, or brave man to make money the chief object of his thoughts; as physically impossible as it is for him to make his dinner the principal object of them. All healthy people like their dinners, but their dinner is not the main object of their lives. So all healthily minded people like making money—ought to like it, and to enjoy the sensation of winning it: but the main object of their life is not money; it is something better than money. A good soldier, for instance, mainly wishes to do his fighting well. He is glad 20 of his pay-very properly so, and justly grumbles when you keep him ten years without it-still, his main notion of life is to win battles, not to be paid for winning them. So of clergymen. They like pew-rents, and baptismal fees, of course; but yet, if they are brave and well educated, the pew-rent is not the sole object of their lives, and the baptismal fee is not the sole purpose of the baptism; the clergyman's object is essentially to baptise and preach, not to be paid for preaching. So of doctors. They like fees no doubt,ought to like them; yet if they are brave and well educated, 20 the entire object of their lives is not fees. They, on the whole, desire to cure the sick; and,—if they are good doctors, and the choice were fairly put to them.—would rather cure their patient, and lose their fee, than kill him, and get it. And so with all other brave and rightly trained men; their work is first, their fee second—very important always, but still

second. But in every nation, as I said, there are a vast class who are ill-educated, cowardly, and more or less stupid. And with these people, just as certainly the fee is first, and the work second, as with brave people the work is first and the fee second. And this is no small distinction. It is the whole distinction in a man; distinction between life and death in him, between heaven and hell for him. You cannot serve two masters;—you must serve one or other. If your work is first with you, and your fee second, work is your master, and 10 the lord of work, who is God. But if your fee is first with you, and your work second, fee is your master, and the lord of fee, who is the Devil; and not only the Devil, but the lowest of devils-the "least erected fiend that fell." So there you have it in brief terms; Work first-you are God's servants; Fee first-you are the Fiend's. And it makes a difference, now and ever, believe me, whether you serve Him who has on His vesture and thigh written, "King of Kings," and whose service is perfect freedom; or him on whose vesture and thigh the name is written, "Slave of Slaves," 20 and whose service is perfect slavery. However, in every nation there are, and must always be,

a certain number of these Fiend's servants, who have it principally for the object of their lives to make money. They are always, as I said, more or less stupid, and cannot conceive of anything else so nice as money. Stupidity is always the basis of the Judas bargain. We do great injustice to Iscariot, in thinking him wicked above all common wickedness. He was only a common money-lover, and, like all money-lovers, didn't understand Christ;—couldn't make out the worth of 30 Him, or meaning of Him. He didn't want Him to be killed. He was horror-struck when he found that Christ would be killed; threw his money away instantly, and hanged himself. How many of our present money-seekers, think you, would have the grace to hang themselves, whoever was killed? But Judas was a common, selfish, muddle-headed, pilfering fellow; his hand always in the bag of the poor, not caring for

them. He didn't understand Christ ;-yet believed in Him, much more than most of us do; had seen him do miracles, thought He was quite strong enough to shift for Himself, and he, Judas, might as well make his own little bye-perquisites out of the affair. Christ would come out of it well enough, and he have his thirty pieces. Now, that is the moneyseeker's idea, all over the world. He doesn't hate Christ, but can't understand Him-doesn't care for Him-sees no good in that benevolent business; makes his own little job of it at all events, come what will. And thus, out of every mass 10 of men, you have a certain number of bagmen-your "feefirst" men, whose main object is to make money. And they do make it-make it in all sorts of unfair ways, chiefly by the weight and force of money itself, or what is called the power of capital; that is to say, the power which money, once obtained, has over the labour of the poor, so that the capitalist can take all its produce to himself, except the labourer's food. That is the modern Judas's way of "carrying the bag," and "bearing what is put therein."

Nay, but (it is asked) how is that an unfair advantage? 20 Has not the man who has worked for the money a right to use it as he best can? No; in this respect, money is now exactly what mountain promontories over public roads were in old times. The barons fought for them fairly:-the strongest and cunningest got them; then fortified them, and made every one who passed below pay toll. Well, capital now is exactly what crags were then. Men fight fairly (we will, at least, grant so much, though it is more than we ought) for their money: but, once having got it, the fortified millionaire can make everybody who passes below pay toll to his million, 30 and build another tower of his money castle. And I can tell you, the poor vagrants by the roadside suffer now quite as much from the bag-baron, as ever they did from the crag-baron. Bags and crags have just the same result on rags. I have not time, however, to-night to show you in how many ways the power of capital is unjust: but this one

great principle I have to assert—you will find it indisputably true,-that whenever money is the principal object of life with either man or nation, it is both got ill, and spent ill; and does harm both in the getting and spending; but when it is not the principal object, it and all other things will be well got, and well spent. And here is the test, with every man, whether money is the principal object with him, or not. If in mid-life he could pause and say, "Now I have enough to live upon, I'll live upon it; and having well earned it, I will 10 also well spend it, and go out of the world poor, as I came into it," then money is not principal with him; but if, having enough to live upon in the manner befitting his character and rank, he still wants to make more, and to die rich, then money is the principal object with him, and it becomes a curse to himself, and generally to those who spend it after him. For you know it must be spent some day; the only question is whether the man who makes it shall spend it, or some one else. And generally it is better for the maker to

spend it, for he will know best its value and use. This is the 20 true law of life. And if a man does not choose thus to spend his money, he must either hoard it or lend it, and the worst thing he can generally do is to lend it; for borrowers are nearly always ill-spenders, and it is with lent money that all evil is mainly done, and all unjust war protracted.

For observe what the real fact is, respecting loans to foreign military governments, and how strange it is. If your little boy came to you to ask for money to spend in squibs and crackers, you would think twice before you gave it him; and you would have some idea that it was wasted, when you saw it

30 fly off in fireworks, even though he did no mischief with it. But the Russian children, and Austrian children, come to you, borrowing money, not to spend in innocent squibs, but in cartridges and bayonets to attack you in India with, and to keep down all noble life in Italy with, and to murder Polish women and children with; and that you will give at once, because they pay you interest for it. Now, in order to pay

you that interest, they must tax every working peasant in their dominions; and on that work you live. You therefore at once rob the Austrian peasant, assassinate or banish the Polish peasant, and you live on the produce of the theft, and the bribe for the assassination! That is the broad fact—that is the practical meaning of your foreign loans, and of most large interest of money; and then you quarrel with Bishop Colenso, forsooth, as if he denied the Bible, and you believed it! though every deliberate act of your lives is a new defiance of its primary orders.

III. I pass now to our third condition of separation: between the men who work with the hand, and those who work with the head.

And here we have at last an inevitable distinction. There must be work done by the arms, or none of us could live. There must be work done by the brains, or the life we get would not be worth living. And the same men cannot do both. There is rough work to be done, and rough men must do it; there is gentle work to be done, and gentlemen must do it; and it is physically impossible that one class should 20 do, or divide, the work of the other. And it is of no use to try to conceal this sorrowful fact by fine words, and to talk to the workmen about the honourableness of manual labour, and the dignity of humanity. That is a grand old proverb of Sancho Panza's, "Fine words butter no parsnips"; and I can tell you that, all over England just now, you workmen are buying a great deal too much butter at that dairy. Rough work, honourable or not, takes the life out of us; and the man who has been heaving clay out of a ditch all day, or driving an express train against the north wind all night, 30 or holding a collier's helm in a gale on a lee shore, or whirling white-hot iron at a furnace mouth, is not the same man at the end of his day, or night, as one who has been sitting in a quiet room, with everything comfortable about him, reading books, or classing butterflies, or painting pictures. If it is any comfort to you to be told that the rough work is

the more honourable of the two, I should be sorry to take that much of consolation from you; and in some sense I need not. The rough work is at all events real, honest, and, generally, though not always, useful; while the fine work is, a great deal of it, foolish and false as well as fine, and therefore dishonourable: but when both kinds are equally well and worthily done, the head's is the noble work, and the hand's the ignoble; and of all hand work whatsoever, necessary for the maintenance of life, those old words, "In the sweat of 10 thy face thou shalt eat bread," indicate that the inherent nature of it is one of calamity; and that the ground, cursed for our sake, casts also some shadow of degradation into our contest with its thorn and its thistle: so that all nations have held their days honourable, or "holy," and constituted them "holydays" or "holidays," by making them days of rest; and the promise, which, among all our distant hopes, seems to cast the chief brightness over death, is that blessing of the dead who die in the Lord, that "they rest from their labours, and their works do follow them."

And thus the perpetual question and contest must arise, who is to do this rough work? and how is the worker of it to be comforted, redeemed, and rewarded? and what kind of play should he have, and what rest, in this world, sometimes, as well as in the next? Well, my good working friends, these questions will take a little time to answer yet. They must be answered: all good men are occupied with them, and all honest thinkers. There's grand head work doing about them; but much must be discovered, and much attempted in vain, before anything decisive can be told you. 30 Only note these few particulars, which are already sure.

As to the distribution of the hard work. None of us, or very few of us, do either hard or soft work because we think we ought; but because we have chanced to fall into the way of it, and cannot help ourselves. Now, nobody does anything well that they cannot help doing: work is only done well when it is done with a will; and no man has a thoroughly

sound will unless he knows he is doing what he should, and is in his place. And, depend upon it, all work must be done at last, not in a disorderly, scrambling, doggish way, but in an ordered, soldierly, human way-a lawful way. Men are enlisted for the labour that kills-the labour of war: they are counted, trained, fed, dressed, and praised for that. Let them be enlisted also for the labour that feeds: let them be counted, trained, fed, dressed, praised for that. Teach the plough exercise as carefully as you do the sword exercise, and let the officers of troops of life be held as much gentlemen 10 as the officers of troops of death; and all is done: but neither this, nor any other right thing, can be accomplished—you can't even see your way to it—unless, first of all, both servant and master are resolved that, come what will of it, they will do each other justice. People are perpetually squabbling about what will be best to do, or easiest to do, or adviseablest to do, or profitablest to do; but they never, so far as I hear them talk, ask what it is just to do. And it is the law of heaven that you shall not be able to judge what is wise or easy, unless you are first resolved to judge what is just, and 20 That is the one thing constantly reiterated by our Master—the order of all others that is given oftenest—"Do justice and judgment." That's your Bible order; that's the "Service of God," not praying nor psalm-singing. You are told, indeed, to sing psalms when you are merry, and to pray when you need anything; and, by the perversion of the Evil Spirit, we get to think that praying and psalm-singing are "service." If a child finds itself in want of anything, it runs in and asks its father for it-does it call that, doing its father a service? If it begs for a toy or a piece of cake- 30 does it call that serving its father? That, with God, is prayer, and He likes to hear it: He likes you to ask Him for cake when you want it; but He doesn't call that "serving Him." Begging is not serving: God likes mere beggars as little as you do-He likes honest servants, not beggars. So when a child loves its father very much, and is very happy,

it may sing little songs about him; but it doesn't call that, serving its father; neither is singing songs about God, serving God. It is enjoying ourselves, if it's anything; most probably it is nothing: but if it's anything, it is serving ourselves, not God. And yet we are impudent enough to call our beggings and chauntings "Divine Service": we say, "Divine service will be 'performed'" (that's our word—the form of it gone through) "at eleven o'clock." Alas!—unless we perform Divine service in every willing act of life, we never perform it at all. The one Divine work—the one ordered

10 perform it at all. The one Divine work—the one ordered sacrifice—is to do justice; and it is the last we are ever inclined to do. Anything rather than that! As much charity as you choose, but no justice. "Nay." you will say, "charity is greater than justice." Yes, it is greater; it is the summit of justice—it is the temple of which justice is the foundation. But you can't have the top without the bottom; you cannot build upon charity. You must build upon justice, for this main reason, that you have not, at first, charity to build with. It is the last reward of good work.

20 Do justice to your brother (you can do that, whether you love him or not), and you will come to love him. But do injustice to him, because you don't love him; and you will come to hate him. It is all very fine to think you can build upon charity to begin with, *but you will find all you have got to begin with, begins at home, and is essentially love of yourself. You well-to-do people, for instance who are here to-night, will go to "Divine Service" next Sunday, all nice and tidy, and your little children will have their tight little Sunday boots on, and lovely little Sunday feathers in their hats; and

30 you'll think, complacently and piously, how lovely they look! So they do: and you love them heartily, and you like sticking feathers in their hats. That's all right: that is charity; but it is charity beginning at home. Then you will come to the poor little crossing-sweeper, got up also,—it, in its Sunday dress,—the dirtiest rags it has,—that it may beg the better: we shall give it a penny, and think how good

we are. That's charity going abroad. But what does Justice say, walking and watching near us? Christian Justice has been strangely mute, and seemingly blind; and, if not blind, decrepit, this many a day: she keeps her accounts still, however-quite steadily-doing them at nights, carefully, with her bandage off, and through acutest spectacles (the only modern scientific invention she cares about). You must put your ear down ever so close to her lips to hear her speak; and then you will start at what she first whispers, for it will certainly be, "Why shouldn't that little crossing- 10 sweeper have a feather on its head, as well as your own child?" Then you may ask Justice in an amazed manner, "How she can possibly be so foolish as to think children could sweep crossings with feathers on their heads?" Then you stoop again, and Justice says-still in her dull, stupid way-"Then, why don't you, every other Sunday, leave your child to sweep the crossing, and take the little sweeper to church in a hat and feather?" Mercy on us (you think), what will she say next? And you answer, of course, that "you don't, because every body ought to remain content in the 20 position in which Providence has placed them." Ah, my friends, that's the gist of the whole question. Did Providence put them in that position, or did you? You knock a man into a ditch, and then you tell him to remain content in the "position in which Providence has placed him." That's modern Christianity. You say-" We did not knock him into the ditch." How do you know what you have done, or are doing? That's just what we have all got to know, and what we shall never know, until the question with us, every morning, is, not how to do the gainful thing, but how to do the 30 just thing; nor until we are at least so far on the way to being Christian, as to have understood that maxim of the poor half-way Mahometan, "One hour in the execution of justice is worth seventy years of prayer."

Supposing, then, we have it determined with appropriate justice, who is to do the hand work, the next questions must

be how the hand-workers are to be paid, and how they are to be refreshed, and what play they are to have. Now, the possible quantity of play depends on the possible quantity of pay; and the quantity of pay is not a matter for consideration to hand-workers only, but to all workers. Generally, good, useful work, whether of the hand or head, is either ill-paid, or not paid at all. I don't say it should be so, but it always is so. People, as a rule, only pay for being amused or being cheated, not for being served. Five thousand a year to your 10 talker, and a shilling a day to your fighter, digger, and thinker, is the rule. None of the best head work in art, literature, or science, is ever paid for. How much do you think Homer got for his Iliad? or Dante for his Paradise? only bitter bread and salt, and going up and down other people's stairs.1 In science, the man who discovered the telescope, and first saw heaven, was paid with a dungeon; the man who invented the microscope, and first saw earth, died of starvation, driven from his home: it is indeed very clear that God means all thoroughly good work and talk to be done for nothing. 20 Baruch, the scribe, did not get a penny a line for writing Jeremiah's second roll for him, I fancy; and St. Stephen did not get bishop's pay for that long sermon of his to the Pharisees; nothing but stones. For indeed that is the world-father's proper payment. So surely as any of the world's children work for the world's good, honestly, with head and heart; and come to it, saying, "Give us a little bread, just to keep the life in us," the vorld-father answers them, "No, my children, not bread; a stone, if you like, or as many as you need, to keep you quies." But the hand-30 workers are not so ill off as all this comes to. The worst that

¹Thou shalt leave each thing
Belov'd most dearly; this is the first shaft
Shot from the bow of exile. Thou shalt prove
How salt the savour is of other's bread,
How hard the passage to descend and climb
By other's stairs.

—Dante, Paradiso, xvii. [Gary's translation.]

30

can happen to you is to break stones; not be broken by them. And for you there will come a time for better payment; some day, assuredly, more pence will be paid to Peter the Fisherman, and fewer to Peter the Pope; we shall pay people not quite so much for talking in Parliament and doing nothing, as for holding their tongues out of it and doing something; we shall pay our ploughman a little more, and our lawyer a little less, and so on: but, at least, we may even now take care that whatever work is done shall be fully paid for; and the man who does it paid for it, not somebody else; 10 and that it shall be done in an orderly, soldierly, well-guided, wholesome way, under good captains and lieutenants of labour; and that it shall have its appointed times of rest, and enough of them; and that in those times the play shall be wholesome play, not in theatrical gardens, with tin flowers and gas sunshine, and girls dancing because of their misery; but in true gardens, with real flowers, and real sunshine, and children dancing because of their gladness; so that truly the streets shall be full (the "streets," mind you, not the gutters) of children, playing in the midst thereof. We 20 may take care that working men shall have at least as good books to read as anybody else, when they've time to read them; and as comfortable firesides to sit at as anybody else, when they've time to sit at them. This, I think, can be managed for you, my working friends, in the good time.

IV. I must go on, however, to our last head, concerning ourselves all, as workers. What is wise work, and what is foolish work? What the difference between sense and nonsense, in daily occupation?

Observe then, all wise work is mainly threefold in character. It is honest, useful, and cheerful.

I. It is HONEST. I hardly know anything more strange than that you recognize honesty in play, and you do not in work. In your lightest games, you have always some one to see what you call "fair-play." In boxing, you must hit

c.w.o.

fair; in racing, start fair. Your English watchword is fair-play, your English hatred, foul-play. Did it never strike you that you wanted another watchword also, fair-work, and another hatred also, foul-work? Your prize-fighter has some honour in him yet; and so have the men in the ring round him: they will judge him to lose the match, by foul hitting. But your prize-merchant gains his match by foul selling, and no one cries out against that. You drive a gambler out of the gambling-room who loads dice, but you 10 leave a tradesman in flourishing business, who loads scales! For observe, all dishonest dealing is loading scales. What does it matter whether I get short weight, adulterate substance, or dishonest fabric? The fault in the fabric is incomparably the worse of the two. Give me short measure of food, and I only lose by you; but give me adulterate food, and I die by you. Here, then, is your chief duty, you workmen and tradesmen,—to be true to yourselves, and to us who would help you. We can do nothing for you, nor you for yourselves, without honesty. Get that, you get all; without 20 that, your suffrages, your reforms, your free-trade measures, your institutions of science, are all in vain. It is useless to

put your heads together, if you can't put your hearts together. Shoulder to shoulder, right hand to right hand, among yourselves, and no wrong hand to anybody else, and you'll

win the world yet.

II. Then, secondly, wise work is useful. No man minds, or ought to mind, its being hard, if only it comes to something; but when it is hard, and comes to nothing; when all our bees' business turns to spiders'; and for honey-comb we have 30 only resultant cobweb, blown away by the next breeze,that is the cruel thing for the worker. Yet do we ever ask ourselves, personally, or even nationally, whether our work is coming to anything or not? We don't care to keep what has been nobly done; still less do we care to do nobly what others would keep; and, least of all, to make the work itself useful instead of deadly to the doer, so as to use his life

indeed, but not to waste it. Of all wastes, the greatest waste that you can commit is the waste of labour. If you went down in the morning into your dairy, and found that your youngest child had got down before you; and that he and the cat were at play together, and that he had poured out all the cream on the floor for the cat to lap up, you would scold the child, and be sorry the milk was wasted. But if, instead of wooden bowls with milk in them, there are golden bowls with human life in them, and instead of the cat to play with,—the devil to play with; and you yourself the 10 player; and instead of leaving that golden bowl to be broken by God at the fountain, you break it in the dust yourself, and pour the human blood out on the ground for the fiend to lick up-that is no waste! What! you perhaps think, "to waste the labour of men is not to kill them." Is it not? I should like to know how you could kill them more utterly kill them with second deaths, seventh deaths, hundredfold deaths? It is the slightest way of killing to stop a man's breath. Nay, the hunger, and the cold, and the little whistling bullets-our love-messengers between nation and nation,- 20 have brought pleasant messages from us to many a man before now; orders of sweet release, and leave at last to go where he will be most welcome and most happy. At the worst you do but shorten his life, you do not corrupt his life. But if you put him to base labour, if you bind his thoughts, if you blind his eyes, if you blunt his hopes, if you steal his joys, if you stunt his body, and blast his soul, and at last leave him not so much as strength to reap the poor fruit of his degradation, but gather that for yourself, and dismiss him to the grave, when you have done with him, 30 having, so far as in you lay, made the walls of that grave everlasting: (though, indeed, I fancy the goodly bricks of some of our family vaults will hold closer in the resurrection day than the sod over the labourer's head), this you think is no waste, and no sin!

III. Then, lastly, wise work is CHEERFUL, as a child's

work is. And now I want you to take one thought home

with you, and let it stay with you.

Everybody in this room has been taught to pray daily, "Thy kingdom come." Now, if we hear a man swear in the streets, we think it very wrong, and say he "takes God's name in vain." But there's a twenty times worse way of taking His name in vain, than that. It is to ask God for what we don't want. He doesn't like that sort of prayer. If you don't want a thing, don't ask for it: such asking is the worst 10 mockery of your King you can mock Him with; the soldiers

10 mockery of your King you can mock Him with; the soluters striking Him on the head with the reed was nothing to that. If you do not wish for His kingdom, don't pray for it. But if you do, you must do more than pray for it; you must work for it. And, to work for it, you must know what it is: we have all prayed for it many a day without thinking. Observe, it is a kingdom that is to come to us; we are not to go to it. Also, it is not to be a kingdom of the dead, but of the living. Also, it is not to come all at once, but quietly; nobody knows how. "The kingdom of God cometh not 20 with observation." Also, it is not to come outside of us,

20 with observation." Also, it is not to come outside of us, but in the hearts of us: "the kingdom of God is within you." And, being within us, it is not a thing to be seen, but to be felt; and though it brings all substance of good with it, it does not consist in that: "the kingdom of God is not meat and drink, but righteousness, peace, and joy in the Holy Ghost": joy, that is to say, in the holy, healthful, and helpful Spirit. Now, if we want to work for this kingdom, and to bring it, and enter into it, there's just one condition to be first accepted. You must enter it as children, or not at

30 all: "Whosoever will not receive it as a little child shall not enter therein." And again, "Suffer little children to come unto me, and forbid them not, for of such is the kingdom of heaven."

Of such, observe. Not of children themselves, but of such as children. I believe most mothers who read that text think that all heaven is to be full of babies. But that's not

so. There will be children there, but the hoary head is the crown. "Length of days, and long life and peace," that is the blessing, not to die in babyhood. Children die but for their parents' sins; God means them to live, but He can't let them always; then they have their earlier place in heaven: and the little child of David, vainly prayed for;—the little child of Jeroboam, killed by its mother's step on its own threshold,—they will be there. But weary old David, and weary old Barzillai, having learned children's lessons at last, will be there too: and the one question for us all, young or 10 old, is, have we learned our child's lesson? it is the character of children we want, and must gain at our peril; let us see, briefly, in what it consists.

The first character of right childhood is that it is Modest. A well-bred child does not think it can teach its parents, or that it knows everything. It may think its father and mother know everything,—perhaps that all grown-up people know everything; very certainly it is sure that it does not. And it is always asking questions, and wanting to know more. Well, that is the first character of a good and wise 20 man at his work. To know that he knows very little;—to perceive that there are many above him wiser than he; and to be always asking questions, wanting to learn, not to teach. No one ever teaches well who wants to teach, or governs well who wants to govern; it is an old saying (Plato's, but I know not if his, first), and as wise as old.

Then, the second character of right childhood is to be Faithful. Perceiving that its father knows best what is good for it, and having found always, when it has tried its own way against his, that he was right and it was wrong, a noble 30 child trusts him at last wholly, gives him its hand, and will walk blindfold with him, if he bids it. And that is the true character of all good men also, as obedient workers, or soldiers under captains. They must trust their captains;—they are bound for their lives to choose none but those whom they can trust. Then, they are not always to be thinking that

what seems strange to them, or wrong in what they are desired to do, is strange or wrong. They know their captain: where he leads they must follow, what he bids, they must do; and without this trust and faith, without this captainship and soldiership, no great deed, no great salvation, is possible to man. Among all the nations it is only when this faith is attained by them that they become great: the Jew, the Greek, and the Mahometan, agree at least in testifying to It was a deed of this absolute trust which made 10 Abraham the father of the faithful; it was the declaration of the power of God as captain over all men, and the acceptance of a leader appointed by Him as commander of the faithful, which laid the foundation of whatever national power yet exists in the East; and the deed of the Greeks, which has become the type of unselfish and noble soldiership to all lands, and to all times, was commemorated, on the tomb of those who gave their lives to do it, in the most pathetic, so far as I know, or can feel, of all human utterances: "Oh. stranger, go and tell our people that we are lying here, having 20 obeyed their words."

Then, the third character of right childhood is to be Loving and Generous. Give a little love to a child, and you get a great deal back. It loves everything near it, when it is a right kind of child—would hurt nothing, would give the best it has away, always, if you need it—does not lay plans for getting everything in the house for itself, and delights in helping people; you cannot please it so much as by giving it

a chance of being useful, in ever so little a way.

And because of all these characters, lastly, it is Cheerful. 30 Putting its trust in its father, it is careful for nothing—being full of love to every creature, it is happy always, whether in its play or its duty. Well, that's the great worker's character also. Taking no thought for the morrow; taking thought only for the duty of the day; trusting somebody else to take care of to-morrow; knowing indeed what labour is, but not what sorrow is; and always ready for play—beautiful play,

—for lovely human play is like the play of the Sun. There's a worker for you. He, steady to his time, is set as a strong man to run his course, but also, he rejoiceth as a strong man to run his course. See how he plays in the morning, with the mists below, and the clouds above, with a ray here and a flash there, and a shower of jewels everywhere;—that's the Sun's play; and great human play is like his—all various—all full of light and life, and tender, as the dew of the morning.

So then, you have the child's character in these four things -Humility, Faith, Charity, and Cheerfulness. That's what 10 you have got to be converted to. "Except ye be converted and become as little children "—You hear much of conversion now-a-days; but people always seem to think they have got to be made wretched by conversion,—to be converted to long faces. No, friends, you have got to be converted to short ones; you have to repent into childhood, to repent into delight, and delightsomeness. You can't go into a conventicle but you'll hear plenty of talk of backsliding. Backsliding, indeed! I can tell you, on the ways most of us go, the faster we slide back the better. Slide back into 20 the cradle, if going on is into the grave—back, I tell you; back—out of your long faces, and into your long clothes. It is among children only, and as children only, that you will find medicine for your healing and true wisdom for your teaching. There is poison in the counsels of the men of this world; the words they speak are all bitterness, "the poison of asps is under their lips," but "the sucking child shall play by the hole of the asp." There is death in the looks of men. "Their eyes are privily set against the poor"; they are as the uncharmable serpent, the cockatrice, which slew by 30 seeing. But "the weaned child shall lay his hand on the cockatrice den." There is death in the steps of men: "their feet are swift to shed blood; they have compassed us in our steps like the lion that is greedy of his prey, and the young lion lurking in secret places"; but, in that kingdom, the wolf shall lie down with the lamb, and the fatling with the

lion, and "a little child shall lead them." There is death in the thoughts of men: the world is one wide riddle to them, darker and darker as it draws to a close.; but the secret of it is known to the child, and the Lord of heaven and earth is most to be thanked in that "He has hidden these things from the wise and prudent, and has revealed them unto babes." Yes, and there is death,—infinitude of death—in the principalities and powers of men. As far as the east is from the west, so far our sins are-not set from us, but multiplied 10 around us: the Sun himself, think you he now "rejoices" to run his course, when he plunges westward to the horizon, so widely red, not with clouds, but blood? And it will be red more widely yet. Whatever drought of the early and latter rain may be, there will be none of that red rain. You fortify yourselves, you arm vourselves against it in vain; the enemy and avenger will be upon you also, unless you learn that it is not out of the mouths of the knitted gun, or the smoothed rifle, but "out of the mouths of babes and sucklings" that the strength is ordained, which shall "still

20 the enemy and avenger."

LECTURE II.—TRAFFIC

(Delivered in the Town Hall, Bradford)

My good Yorkshire friends, you asked me down here among your hills that I might talk to you about this Exchange you are going to build: but earnestly and seriously asking you to pardon me, I am going to do nothing of the kind. I cannot talk, or at least can say very little, about this same Exchange. I must talk of quite other things, though not willingly;—I could not deserve your pardon, if, when you invited me to speak on one subject, I wilfully spoke on another. But I cannot speak, to purpose, of anything about which I do not care; and most simply and sorrowfully I have 10 to tell you, in the outset, that I do not care about this Exchange of yours.

If, however, when you sent me your invitation, I had answered, "I won't come, I don't care about the Exchange of Bradford," you would have been justly offended with me, not knowing the reasons of so blunt a carelessness. So I have come down, hoping that you will patiently let me tell you why, on this, and many other such occasions, I now remain silent, when formerly I should have caught at the opportunity of speaking to a gracious audience.

In a word, then, I do not care about this Exchange,—because you don't; and because you know perfectly well I cannot make you. Look at the essential circumstances of the case, which you, as business men, know perfectly well, though perhaps you think I forget them. You are going to spend £30,000, which to you, collectively, is nothing; the buying a new coat is, as to the cost of it, a much more important matter of consideration to me than building a new

Exchange is to you. But you think you may as well have the right thing for your money. You know there are a great many odd styles of architecture about; you don't want to do anything ridiculous; you hear of me, among others, as a respectable architectural man-milliner: and you send for me, that I may tell you the leading fashion; and what is, in our shops, for the moment, the newest and sweetest

thing in pinnacles.

Now, pardon me for telling you frankly, you cannot have 10 good architecture merely by asking people's advice on occasion. All good architecture is the expression of national life and character; and it is produced by a prevalent and eager national taste, or desire for beauty. And I want you to think a little of the deep significance of this word "taste"; for no statement of mine has been more earnestly or oftener controverted than that good taste is essentially a moral quality. "No," say many of my antagonists, "taste is one thing, morality is another. Tell us what is pretty; we shall be glad to know that: but preach no sermons 20 to us."

Permit me, therefore, to fortify this old dogma of mine somewhat. Taste is not only a part and an index of morality; -it is the only morality. The first, and last, and closest trial question to any living creature is, "What do you like?" * Tell me what you like, and I'll tell you what you are. Go out into the street, and ask the first man or woman you meet, what their "taste" is; and if they answer candidly, you know them, body and soul. "You, my friend in the rags, with the unsteady gait, what do you like?" "A pipe, 30 and a quartern of gin." I know you. "You, good woman, with the quick step and tidy bonnet, what do you like?" "A swept hearth and a clean tea-table; and my husband opposite me, and a baby at my breast." Good, I know you also. "You, little girl with the golden hair and the soft eyes, what do you like?" "My canary, and a run among the wood hyacinths." "You, little boy with the dirty hands,

and the low forehead, what do you like?" "A shy at the sparrows, and a game at pitch-farthing." Good; we know them all now. What more need we ask?

"Nay," perhaps you answer; "we need rather to ask what these people and children do, than what they like. If they do right, it is no matter that they like what is wrong; and if they do wrong, it is no matter that they like what is right. Doing is the great thing; and it does not matter that the man likes drinking, so that he does not drink; nor that the little girl likes to be kind to her canary, if she will not 10 learn her lessons; nor that the little boy likes throwing stones at the sparrows, if he goes to the Sunday school." Indeed, for a short time, and in a provisional sense, this is true. For if, resolutely, people do what is right, in time they come to like doing it. But they are only in a right moral state when they have come to like doing it; and as long as they don't like it, they are still in a vicious state. The man is not in health of body who is always thirsting for the bottle in the cupboard, though he bravely bears his thirst; but the man who heartily enjoys water in the morning and wine in 20 the evening, each in its proper quantity and time. And the entire object of true education is to make people not merely do the right things, but enjoy the right things-not merely industrious, but to love industry-not merely learned, but to love knowledge—not merely pure, but to love purity—not merely just, but to hunger and thirst after justice.

But you may answer or think, "Is the liking for outside ornaments,—for pictures, or statues, or furniture, or architecture,—a moral quality?" Yes, most surely, if a rightly set liking. Taste for any pictures or statues is not a moral 30 quality, but taste for good ones is. Only here again we have to define the word "good." I don't mean by "good," clever,—or learned—or difficult in the doing. Take a picture by Teniers, of sots quarrelling over their dice: it is an entirely clever picture; so clever that nothing in its kind has ever been done equal to it; but it is also an entirely base and evil

picture. It is an expression of delight in the prolonged contemplation of a vile thing, and delight in that is an "unmannered," or "immoral" quality. It is "bad taste" in the profoundest sense—it is the taste of the devils. On the other hand, a picture of Titian's, or a Greek statue, or a Greek coin, or a Turner landscape, expresses delight in the perpetual contemplation of a good and perfect thing. That is an entirely moral quality—it is the taste of the angels. And all delight in art, and all love of it, resolve themselves into simple

10 love of that which deserves love. That deserving is the quality which we call "loveliness"—(we ought to have an opposite word, hateliness, to be said of the things which deserve to be hated); and it is not an indifferent nor optional thing whether we love this or that; but it is just the vital function of all our being. What we like determines what we are, and is the sign of what we are; and to teach taste is inevitably to form character. As I was thinking over this, in walking up Fleet Street the other day, my eye caught the title of a book standing open in a bookseller's window. It

20 was—On the Necessity of the Diffusion of Taste among all Classes. "Ah," I thought to myself, "my classifying friend, when you have diffused your taste, where will your classes be? The man who likes what you like, belongs to the same class with you, I think. Inevitably so. You may put him to other work if you choose; but, by the condition you have brought him into, he will dislike the other work as much as you would yourself. You get hold of a scavenger, or a costermonger, who enjoyed the Newgate Calendar for literature, and 'Pop goes the Weasel' for music. You think 30 you can make him like Dante and Beethoven? I wish you joy of your lessons; but if you do, you have made a gentleman

of him:—he won't like to go back to his costermongering."

And so completely and unexceptionally is this so, that, if I had time to-night, I could show you that a nation cannot be affected by any vice, or weakness, without expressing it, legibly, and for ever, either in bad art, or by want of art: and that

there is no national virtue, small or great, which is not manifestly expressed in all the art which circumstances enable the people possessing that virtue to produce. Take, for instance, your great English virtue of enduring and patient courage. You have at present in England only one art of any consequence—that is, iron-working. You know thoroughly well how to cast and hammer iron. Now, do you think in those masses of lava which you build volcanic cones to melt, and which you forge at the mouths of the Infernos you have created; do you think, on those iron plates, your 10 courage and endurance are not written for ever-not merely with an iron pen, but on iron parchment? And take also your great English vice-European vice-vice of all the world-vice of all other worlds that roll or shine in heaven, bearing with them yet the atmosphere of hell-the vice of jealousy, which brings competition into your commerce, treachery into your councils, and dishonour into your warsthat vice which has rendered for you, and for your next neighbouring nation, the daily occupations of existence no longer possible, but with the mail upon your breasts and 20 the sword loose in its sheath; so that, at last, you have realised for all the multitudes of the two great peoples who lead the so-called civilisation of the earth,—you have realised for them all, I say, in person and in policy, what was once true only of the rough Border riders of your Cheviot hills-

"They carved at the meal With gloves of steel,

And they drank the red wine through the helmet barr'd;"—
do you think that this national shame and dastardliness of
heart are not written as legibly on every rivet of your iron 30
armour as the strength of the right hands that forged it?

Friends, I know not whether this thing be the more ludicrous or the more melancholy. It is quite unspeakably both. Suppose, instead of being now sent for by you, I had been sent for by some private gentleman, living in a suburban house, with his garden separated only by a fruit-wall from

his next door neighbour's; and he had called me to consult with him on the furnishing of his drawing-room. I begin looking about me, and find the walls rather bare; I think such and such a paper might be desirable—perhaps a little fresco here and there on the ceiling-a damask curtain or so at the windows. "Ah," says my employer, "damask curtains, indeed! That's all very fine, but you know I can't afford that kind of thing just now!" "Yet the world credits you with a splendid income!" "Ah, yes," says 10 my friend, "but do you know, at present, I am obliged to spend it nearly all in steel-traps?" "Steel-traps! for whom?" "Why, for that fellow on the other side of the wall, you know: we're very good friends, capital friends; but we are obliged to keep our traps set on both sides of the wall; we could not possibly keep on friendly terms without them, and our spring guns. The worst of it is, we are both clever fellows enough; and there's never a day passes that we don't find out a new trap, or a new gun-barrel, or something; we spend about fifteen millions a year each in our 20 traps, take it altogether; and I don't see how we're to do with less." A highly comic state of life for two private gentlemen! but for two nations, it seems to me, not wholly comic. Bedlam would be comic, perhaps, if there were only one madman in it; and your Christmas pantomime is comic, when there is only one clown in it; but when the whole world turns clown, and paints itself red with its own heart's blood instead of vermilion, it is something else than comic, I think.

Mind, I know a great deal of this is play, and willingly 30 allow for that. You don't know what to do with yourselves for a sensation: fox-hunting and cricketing will not carry you through the whole of this unendurably long mortal life: you liked pop-guns when you were schoolboys, and rifles and Armstrongs are only the same things better made: but then the worst of it is, that what was play to you when boys, was not play to the sparrows; and what is play to you now, is

not play to the small birds of State neither; and for the black eagles, you are somewhat shy of taking shots at them, if I mistake not.

I must get back to the matter in hand, however. Believe me, without farther instance, I could show you, in all time, that every nation's vice, or virtue, was written in its art: the soldiership of early Greece; the sensuality of late Italy; the visionary religion of Tuscany; the splendid human energy and beauty of Venice. I have no time to do this to-night, (I have done it elsewhere before now); but I 10 proceed to apply the principle to ourselves in a more searching manner.

I notice that among all the new buildings which cover your once wild hills, churches and schools are mixed in due, that is to say, in large proportion, with your mills and mansions; and I notice also that the churches and schools are almost always Gothic, and the mansions and mills are never Gothic. Will you allow me to ask precisely the meaning of this? For, remember, it is peculiarly a modern phenomenon. When Gothic was invented, houses were Gothic as well as churches; 20 and when the Italian style superseded the Gothic, churches were Italian as well as houses. If there is a Gothic spire to the cathedral of Antwerp, there is a Gothic belfry to the Hôtel de Ville at Brussels; if Inigo Jones builds an Italian Whitehall, Sir Christopher Wren builds an Italian St. Paul's. But now you live under one school of architecture, and worship under another. What do you mean by doing this? Am I to understand that you are thinking of changing your architecture back to Gothic; and that you treat your churches experimentally, because it does not matter 30 what mistakes you make in a church? Or am I to understand that you consider Gothic a pre-eminently sacred and beautiful mode of building, which you think, like the fine frankincense, should be mixed for the tabernacle only, and reserved for your religious services? For if this be the feeling, though it may seem at first as if it were graceful

and reverent, you will find that, at the root of the matter, it signifies neither more nor less than that you have separated your religion from your life.

For consider what a wide significance this fact has; and remember that it is not you only, but all the people of Eng-

land, who are behaving thus just now.

You have all got into the habit of calling the church "the house of God." I have seen, over the doors of many churches, the legend actually carved, "This is the house of God, and 10 this is the gate of heaven." Now, note where that legend comes from, and of what place it was first spoken. A boy leaves his father's house to go on a long journey on foot, to visit his uncle: he has to cross a wild hill-desert; just as if one of your own boys had to cross the wolds to visit an uncle at Carlisle. The second or third day your boy finds himself somewhere between Hawes and Brough, in the midst of the moors, at sunset. It is stony ground, and boggy; he cannot go one foot farther that night. Down he lies, to sleep, on Wharnside, where best he may, gather-20 ing a few of the stones together to put under his head -so wild the place is, he cannot get anything but stones. And there, lying under the broad night, he has a dream; and he sees a ladder set up on the earth, and the top of it reaches to heaven, and the angels of God are seen ascending and descending upon it. And when he wakes out of his sleep, he says, "How dreadful is this place; surely, this is none other than the house of God, and this is the gate of heaven." This PLACE, observe; not this church; not this city; not this stone, even, which he puts up for a memorial-the 30 piece of flint on which his head has lain. But this place; this windy slope of Wharnside; this moorland hollow, torrent-bitten, snow-blighted; this any place where God lets down the ladder. And how are you to know where that will be? or how are you to determine where it may be, but by being ready for it always? Do you know where the lightning is to fall next? You do know that, partly; you

can guide the lightning; but you cannot guide the going forth of the Spirit, which is as that lightning when it shines from the east to the west.

But the perpetual and insolent warping of that strong verse to serve a merely ecclesiastical purpose, is only one of the thousand instances in which we sink back into gross Judaism. We call our churches "temples." Now, you know, or ought to know, they are not temples. They have never had, never can have, anything whatever to do with temples. They are "synagogues"—"gathering places" 10—where you gather yourselves together as an assembly; and by not calling them so, you again miss the force of another mighty text—"Thou, when thou prayest, shalt not be as the hypocrites are; for they love to pray standing in the churches" [we should translate it], "that they may be seen of men. But thou, when thou prayest, enter into thy closet, and when thus hast shut thy door, pray to thy Father,"—which is, not in chancel nor in aisle, but "in secret."

Now, you feel, as I say this to you—I know you feel—as if I were trying to take away the honour of your churches. 20 Not so: I am trying to prove to you the honour of your houses and your hills; I am trying to show you—not that the Church is not sacred—but that the whole Earth is. I would have you feel, what careless, what constant, what infectious sin there is in all modes of thought, whereby, in calling your churches only "holy," you call your hearths and homes profane; and have separated yourselves from the heathen by casting all your household gods to the ground, instead of recognizing, in the places of their many and feeble Lares, the presence of your One and Mighty 30 Lord and Lar.

"But what has all this to do with our Exchange?" you ask me, impatiently. My dear friends, it has just everything to do with it; on those inner and great questions depend all the outer and little ones; and if you have asked me down here to speak to you, because you had before been interested c.w.o.

in anything I have written, you must know that all I have vet said about architecture was to show this. The book I called The Seven Lamps was to show that certain right states of temper and moral feeling were the magic powers by which all good architecture, without exception, had been produced. The Stones of Venice had, from beginning to end, no other aim than to show that the Gothic architecture of Venice had arisen out of, and indicated in all its features. a state of pure national faith, and of domestic virtue; and 10 that its Renaissance architecture had arisen out of, and in all its features indicated, a state of concealed national infidelity, and of domestic corruption. And now, you ask me what style is best to build in; and how can I answer, knowing the meaning of the two styles, but by another question -do you mean to build as Christians or as Infidels? And still more—do you mean to build as honest Christians or as honest Infidels? as thoroughly and confessedly either one or the other? You don't like to be asked such rude questions. I cannot help it; they are of much more importance than 20 this Exchange business; and if they can be at once answered, the Exchange business settles itself in a moment. But, before I press them farther, I must ask leave to explain one point clearly.

In all my past work, my endeavour has been to show that good architecture is essentially religious—the production of a faithful and virtuous, not of an infidel and corrupted people. But in the course of doing this, I have had also to show that good architecture is not ecclesiastical. People are so apt to look upon religion as the business 30 of the clergy, not their own, that the moment they hear of anything depending on "religion," they think it must also have depended on the priesthood; and I have had to take what place was to be occupied between these two errors, and fight both, often with seeming contradiction. Good architecture is the work of good and believing men; therefore, you say, at least some people say, "Good archi-

tecture must essentially have been the work of the clergy, not of the laity." No-a thousand times no; good architecture has always been the work of the commonalty, not of the clergy. What, you say, those glorious cathedrals -the pride of Europe-did their builders not form Gothic architecture? No; they corrupted Gothic architecture. Gothic was formed in the baron's castle, and the burgher's It was formed by the thoughts, and hands, and powers of free citizens and soldier kings. By the monk it was used as an instrument for the aid of his superstition: 10 when that superstition became a beautiful madness, and the best hearts of Europe vainly dreamed and pined in the cloister, and vainly raged and perished in the crusade,through that fury of perverted faith and wasted war, the Gothic rose also to its loveliest, most fantastic, and finally, most foolish dreams; and, in those dreams, was lost.

I hope, now, that there is no risk of your misunderstanding me when I come to the gist of what I want to say to-night;—when I repeat, that every great national architecture has been the result and exponent of a great national religion. 20 You can't have bits of it here, bits there—you must have it everywhere, or nowhere. It is not the monopoly of a clerical company—it is not the exponent of a theological dogma—it is not the hieroglyphic writing of an initiated priesthood; it is the manly language of a people inspired by resolute and common purpose, and rendering resolute and common fidelity to the legible laws of an undoubted God.

Now there have as yet been three distinct schools of European architecture. I say, European, because Asiatic 30 and African architectures belong so entirely to other races and climates, that there is not question of them here; only, in passing, I will simply assure you that whatever is good or great in Egypt, and Syria, and India, is just good or great for the same reasons as the buildings on our side of the Bosphorus. We Europeans, then, have had three great religions:

the Greek, which was the worship of the God of Wisdom and Power; the Mediæval, which was the worship of the God of Judgment and Consolation; the Renaissance, which was the worship of the God of Pride and Beauty: these three we have had—they are past,—and now, at last, we English have got a fourth religion, and a God of our own, about which I want to ask you. But I must explain these three old ones first.

I repeat, first, the Greeks essentially worshipped the God of 10 Wisdom; so that whatever contended against their religion,—to the Jews a stumbling block,—was, to the Greeks—Foolishness.

The first Greek idea of deity was that expressed in the word, of which we keep the remnant in our words "Di-urnal" and "Di-vine"—the god of Day, Jupiter the revealer. Athena is his daughter, but especially daughter of the Intellect, springing armed from the head. We are only with the help of recent investigation beginning to penetrate the depth of meaning couched under the Athenaic symbols: 20 but I may note rapidly, that her ægis, the mantle with the serpent fringes, in which she often, in the best statues, is represented as folding up her left hand for better guard, and the Gorgon on her shield, are both representative mainly of the chilling horror and sadness, (turning men to stone, as it were.) of the outmost and superficial spheres of knowledge—that knowledge which separates, in bitterness, hardness, and sorrow, the heart of the full-grown man from the heart of the child. For out of imperfect knowledge spring terror, dissension, danger, and disdain; but from perfect 30 knowledge, given by the full-revealed Athena, strength and peace, in sign of which she is crowned with the olive spray, and bears the resistless spear.

This, then, was the Greek conception of purest Deity, and every habit of life, and every form of his art developed themselves from the seeking this bright, serene, resistless wisdom; and setting himself, as a man, to do things ever-

more rightly and strongly; 1 not with any ardent affection or ultimate hope; but with a resolute and continent energy of will, as knowing that for failure there was no consolation, and for sin there was no remission. And the Greek architecture rose unerring, bright, clearly defined, and self-contained.

Next followed in Europe the great Christian faith, which was essentially the religion of Comfort. Its great doctrine is the remission of sins; for which cause it happens, too often, in certain phases of Christianity, that sin and sickness themselves are partly glorified, as if, the more you had to be healed 10 of, the more divine was the healing. The practical result of this doctrine, in art, is a continual contemplation of sin and disease, and of imaginary states of purification from them; thus we have an architecture conceived in a mingled sentiment of melancholy and aspiration, partly severe, partly luxuriant, which will bend itself to every one of our needs, and every one of our fancies, and be strong or weak with us, as we are strong or weak ourselves. It is, of all architecture, the basest, when base people build it—of all, the noblest, when built by the noble.

And now note that both these religions—Greek and Mediæval—perished by falsehood in their own main purpose. The Greek religion of Wisdom perished in a false philosophy—"Oppositions of science, falsely so called." The Mediæval religion of Consolation perished in false comfort; in remission of sins given lyingly. It was the selling of absolution that ended the Mediæval faith; and I can tell you more, it is the selling of absolution which, to the end of time, will mark false Christianity. Pure Christianity gives her re-

¹ It is an error to suppose that the Greek worship, or seeking, was chiefly of Beauty. It was essentially of Rightness and Strength, founded on Forethought: the principal character of Greek art is not beauty, but Design: and the Dorian Apollo-worship and Athenian Virgin-worship are both expressions of adoration of divine Wisdom and Purity. Next to these great deities rank, in power over the national mind, Dionysius and Ceres, the givers of human strength and life: then, for heroic example, Hercules. There is no Venus-worship among the Greeks in the great times: and the Muses are essentially teachers of Truth, and of its harmonies.

mission of sins only by ending them; but false Christianity gets her remission of sins by compounding for them. And there are many ways of compounding for them. We English have beautiful little quiet ways of buying absolution, whether in low Church or high, far more cunning than any of Tetzel's trading.

Then, thirdly, there followed the religion of Pleasure, in which all Europe gave itself to luxury, ending in death. First, bals masqués in every saloon, and then guillotines 10 in every square. And all these three worships issue in vast temple building. Your Greek worshipped Wisdom, and built you the Parthenon—the Virgin's temple. The Mediæval worshipped Consolation, and built you Virgin temples also —but to our Lady of Salvation. Then the Revivalist worshipped beauty, of a sort, and built you Versailles, and the Vatican. Now, lastly, will you tell me what we worship, and what we build?

You know we are speaking always of the real, active, continual, national worship; that by which men act while 20 they live; not that which they talk of when they die. Now, we have, indeed, a nominal religion, to which we pay tithes of property and sevenths of time; but we have also a practical and earnest religion, to which we devote nine-tenths of our property and six-sevenths of our time. And we dispute a great deal about the nominal religion; but we are all unanimous about this practical one, of which I think you will admit that the ruling goddess may be best generally described as the "Goddess of Getting-on," or "Britannia of the Market." The Athenians had an "Athena Agoraia," 30 or Minerva of the Market; but she was a subordinate type of their goddess, while our Britannia Agoraia is the principal type of ours. And all your great architectural works are, of course, built to her. It is long since you built a great

cathedral; and how you would laugh at me, if I proposed building a cathedral on the top of one of these hills of yours, taking it for an Acropolis! But your railroad mounds,

prolonged masses of Acropolis; your railroad stations, vaster than the Parthenon, and innumerable; your chimneys, how much more mighty and costly than cathedral spires! your harbour-piers; your warehouses; your exchanges!—all these are built to your great Goddess of "Getting-on"; and she has formed, and will continue to form, your architecture, as long as you worship her; and it is quite vain to ask me to tell you how to build to her; you know far better than I.

There might indeed, on some theories, be a conceivably 10 good architecture for Exchanges—that is to say if there were any heroism in the fact or deed of exchange, which might be typically carved on the outside of your building. For, you know, all beautiful architecture must be adorned with sculpture or painting; and for sculpture or painting, you must have a subject. And hitherto it has been a received opinion among the nations of the world that the only right subjects for either, were heroisms of some sort. Even on his pots and his flagons, the Greek put a Hercules slaying lions, or an Apollo slaying serpents, or Bacchus slaying melancholy 20 giants, and earth-born despondencies. On his temples, the Greek put contests of great warriors in founding states, or of gods with evil spirits. On his houses and temples alike, the Christian put carvings of angels conquering devils; or of hero-martyrs exchanging this world for another; subject inappropriate, I think, to our manner of exchange here. And the Master of Christians not only left his followers without any orders as to the sculpture of affairs of exchange on the outside of buildings, but gave some strong evidence of his dislike of affairs of exchange within them. And yet 30 there might surely be a heroism in such affairs; and all commerce become a kind of selling of doves, not impious. The wonder has always been great to me, that heroism has never been supposed to be in anywise consistent with the practice of supplying people with food, or clothes; but rather with that of quartering oneself upon them for food, and stripping them of their clothes. Spoiling of armour is an heroic deed in all ages; but the selling of clothes, old, or new, has never taken any colour of magnanimity. Yet one does not see why feeding the hungry and clothing the naked should ever become base businesses, even when engaged in on a large scale. If one could contrive to attach the notion of conquest to them anyhow! so that, supposing there were anywhere an obstinate race, who refused to be comforted, one might take some pride in giving them compulsory comfort; and as 10 it were, "occupying a country" with one's gifts, instead of

one's armies! If one could only consider it as much a victory to get a barren field sown, as to get an eared field stripped; and contend who should build villages, instead of who should "carry" them! Are not all forms of heroism conceivable in doing these serviceable deeds? You doubt who is strongest? It might be ascertained by push of spade, as well as push of sword. Who is wisest? There are witty things to be thought of in planning other business than campaigns. Who is bravest? There are always the elements 20 to fight with, stronger than men; and nearly as merciless.

The only absolutely and unapproachably heroic element in the soldier's work seems to be-that he is paid little for it-and regularly: while you traffickers, and exchangers, and others occupied in presumably benevolent business like to be paid much for it—and by chance. I never can make out how it is that a knight-errant does not expect to be paid for his trouble, but a pedlar-errant always does; -that people are willing to take hard knocks for nothing, but never to sell ribands cheap :-- that they are ready to go on fervent 30 crusades to recover the tomb of a buried God, never on any travels to fulfil the orders of a living God; -that they will go anywhere barefoot to preach their faith, but must be well bribed to practise it, and are perfectly ready to give the gospel gratis, but never the loaves and fishes. If you choose to take the matter up on any such soldierly principle, to do your commerce, and your feeding of nations, for fixed salaries:

and to be as particular about giving people the best food, and the best cloth, as soldiers are about giving them the best gunpowder, I could carve something for you on your exchange worth looking at. But I can only at present suggest decorating its frieze with pendant purses; and making its pillars broad at the base, for the sticking of bills. And in the innermost chambers of it there might be a statue of Britannia of the Market, who may have, perhaps advisably, a partridge for her crest, typical at once of her courage in fighting for noble ideas; and of her interest in game; and 10 round its neck the inscription in golden letters, "Perdix fovit que non peperit." Then, for her spear, she might have a weaver's beam; and on her shield, instead of her Cross, the Milanese boar, semi-fleeced, with the town of Gennesaret proper, in the field; and the legend "In the best market," and her corslet, of leather, folded over her heart in the shape of a purse, with thirty slits in it for a piece of money to go in at, on each day of the month. And I doubt not but that people would come to see your exchange, and its goddess, with applause.

Nevertheless, I want to point out to you certain strange characters in this goddess of yours. She differs from the great Greek and Mediæval deities essentially in two things—first, as to the continuance of her presumed power; secondly, as to the extent of it.

1st, as to the Continuance.

The Greek Goddess of Wisdom gave continual increase of wisdom, as the Christian Spirit of Comfort (or Comforter) continual increase of comfort. There was no question, with these, of any limit or cessation of function. But with 30 your Agora Goddess, that is just the most important question. Getting on—but where to? Gathering together—but how much? Do you mean to gather always—never

¹ Jerem. xvii. 11 (best in Septuagint and Vulgate). "As the partridge, fostering what she brought not forth, so he that getteth riches, not by right, shall leave them in the midst of his days, and at his end shall be a fool."

to spend? If so, I wish you joy of your goddess, for I am just as well-off as you, without the trouble of worshipping her at all. But if you do not spend, somebody else will—somebody else must. And it is because of this (among many other such errors) that I have fearlessly declared your so-called science of Political Economy to be no science; because, namely, it has omitted the study of exactly the most important branch of the business—the study of spending. For spend you must, and as much as you make,

10 ultimately. You gather corn:—will you bury England under a heap of grain; or will you, when you have gathered, finally eat? You gather gold:—will you make your house-roofs of it, or pave your streets with it? That is still one way of spending it. But if you keep it, that you may get more, I'll give you more; I'll give you all the gold you want—all you can imagine—if you can tell me what you'll do with it. You shall have thousands of gold pieces;—thousands of thousands—millions—mountains, of gold: where will you keep them? Will you put an Olympus of silver upon a 20 golden Pelion—make Ossa like a wart? Do you think the rain and dew would then come down to you, in the streams

golden Pelion—make Ossa like a wart? Do you think the rain and dew would then come down to you, in the streams from such mountains, more blessedly than they will down the mountains which God has made for you, of moss and whinstone? But it is not gold that you want to gather! What is it? greenbacks? No; not those neither. What is it then—is it ciphers after a capital I? Cannot you practise writing ciphers, and write as many as you want? Write ciphers for an hour every morning, in a big book, and say every evening, I am worth all those noughts more than

30 I was yesterday. Won't that do? Well, what in the name of Plutus is it you want? Not gold, not greenbacks, not ciphers after a capital I? You will have to answer, after all, "No; we want, somehow or other, money's worth." Well, what is that? Let your Goddess of Getting-on discover it, and let her learn to stay therein.

II. But there is yet another question to be asked re-

specting this Goddess of Getting-on. The first was of the continuance of her power; the second is of its extent.

Pallas and the Madonna were supposed to be all the world's Pallas, and all the world's Madonna. They could teach all men, and they could comfort all men. But, look strictly into the nature of the power of your Goddess of Getting-on; and you will find she is the Goddess—not of everybody's getting on—but only of somebody's getting on. This is a vital, or rather deathful, distinction. Examine it in your own ideal of the state of national life which this Goddess is 10 to evoke and maintain. I asked you what it was, when I was last here; 1—you have never told me. Now, shall I try to tell you?

Your ideal of human life then is, I think, that it should be passed in a pleasant undulating world, with iron and coal everywhere underneath it. On each pleasant bank of this world is to be a beautiful mansion, with two wings; and stables, and coachhouses; a moderately sized park; a large garden and hot-houses; and pleasant carriage drives through the shrubberies. In this mansion are to live the 20 favoured votaries of the Goddess; the English gentleman, with his gracious wife, and his beautiful family; always able to have the boudoir and the jewels for the wife, and the beautiful ball dresses for the daughters, and hunters for the sons, and a shooting in the Highlands for himself. At the bottom of the bank, is to be the mill; not less than a quarter of a mile long, with a steam engine at each end, and two in the middle, and a chimney three hundred feet high. In this mill are to be in constant employment from eight hundred to a thousand workers, who never drink, 30 never strike, always go to church on Sunday, and always express themselves in respectful language.

Is not that, broadly, and in the main features, the kind of thing you propose to yourselves? It is very pretty indeed, seen from above; not at all so pretty, seen from below.

¹ Two Paths.

For, observe, while to one family this deity is indeed the Goddess of Getting-on, to a thousand families she is the Goddess of not Getting-on. "Nay," you say, "they have all their chance." Yes, so has every one in a lottery, but there must always be the same number of blanks. "Ah! but in a lottery it is not skill and intelligence which take the lead, but blind chance." What then! do you think the old practice, that "they should take who have the power. and they should keep who can," is less iniquitous, when 10 the power has become power of brains instead of fist? and that, though we may not take advantage of a child's or a woman's weakness, we may of a man's foolishness? "Nav. but finally, work must be done, and some one must be at the top, some one at the bottom." Granted, my friends. Work must always be, and captains of work must always be: and if you in the least remember the tone of any of my writings, you must know that they are thought unfit for this age, because they are always insisting on need of government, and speaking with scorn of liberty. But I beg you to 20 observe that there is a wide difference between being captains or governors of work, and taking the profits or it. It does not follow, because you are general of an army, that you are to take all the treasure, or land, it wins (if it fight for treasure or land); neither, because you are king of a nation, that you are to consume all the profits of the nation's work. Real kings, on the contrary, are known invariably by their doing quite the reverse of this,-by their taking the least possible quantity of the nation's work for themselves. There is no test of real kinghood so infallible as that. Does the 30 crowned creature live simply, bravely, unostentatiously? probably he is a King. Does he cover his body with jewels, and his table with delicates? in all probability he is not a King. It is possible he may be, as Solomon was; but that is when the nation shares his splendour with him. Solomon made gold, not only to be in his own palace as stones, but

to be in Jerusalem as stones. But, even so, for the most

part, these splendid kinghoods expire in ruin, and only the true kinghoods live, which are of royal labourers governing loyal labourers; who, both leading rough lives, establish the true dynasties. Conclusively you will find that because you are king of a nation, it does not follow that you are to gather for yourself all the wealth of that nation; neither because you are king of a small part of the nation, and lord over the means of its maintenance—over field, or mill, or mine, are you to take all the produce of that piece of the foundation of national existence for yourself.

You will tell me I need not preach against these things, for I cannot mend them. No, good friends, I cannot; but you can, and you will; or something else can and will. Do you think these phenomena are to stay always in their present power or aspect? All history shows, on the contrary, that to be the exact thing they never can do. Change must come; but it is ours to determine whether change of growth, or change of death. Shall the Parthenon be in ruins on its rock, and Bolton priory in its meadow, but these mills of yours be the consummation of the buildings of the earth, 20 and their wheels be as the wheels of eternity? Think you that "men may come, and men may go," but—mills—go on for ever? Not so; out of these, better or worse shall come; and it is for you to choose which.

I know that none of this wrong is done with deliberate purpose. I know, on the contrary, that you wish your workmen well; that you do much for them, and that you desire to do more for them, if you saw your way to it safety. I know that many of you have done, and are every day doing, whatever you feel to be in your power; and that even all 30 this wrong and misery are brought about by a warped sense of duty, each of you striving to do his best, without noticing that this best is essentially and centrally the best for himself, not for others. And all this has come of the spreading of that thrice accursed, thrice impious doctrine of the modern economist, that "To do the best for yourself, is finally to do

the best for others." Friends, our great Master said not so: and most absolutely we shall find this world is not made so. Indeed, to do the best for others, is finally to do the best for ourselves; but it will not do to have our eyes fixed on that issue. The Pagans had got beyond that. Hear what a Pagan says of this matter; hear what were, perhaps, the last written words of Plato,—if not the last actually written, (for this we cannot know), yet assuredly in fact and power his parting words—in which, endeavouring to give full crown-10 ing and harmonious close to all his thoughts, and to speak the sum of them by the imagined sentence of the Great

Spirit, his strength and his heart fail him, and the words cease,

broken off for ever. It is the close of the dialogue called "Critias," in which he describes, partly from real tradition, partly in ideal dream, the early state of Athens; and the genesis, and order, and religion, of the fabled isle of Atlantis; in which genesis he conceives the same first perfection and final degeneracy of man, which in our own Scriptural tradition 20 is expressed by saying that the Sons of God intermarried with the daughters of men, for he supposes the earliest race to have been indeed the children of God; and to have

corrupted themselves, until "their spot was not the spot of his children." And this, he says, was the end; that indeed "through many generations, so long as the God's nature in them yet was full, they were submissive to the sacred laws, and carried themselves lovingly to all that had kindred with them in divineness; for their uttermost spirit was faithful and true, and in every wise great; so that, in 30 all meekness of wisdom, they dealt with each other, and took

all the chances of life; and despising all things except virtue, they cared little what happened day by day, and bore lightly the burden of gold and of possessions; for they saw that, if only their common love and virtue increased, all these things would be increased together with them; but to set their esteem and ardent pursuit upon material possession,

would be to lose that first, and their virtue and affection together with it. And by such reasoning, and what of the divine nature remained in them, they gained all this greatness of which we have already told; but when the God's part of them faded and became extinct, being mixed again and again, and effaced by the prevalent mortality; and the human nature at last exceeded, they then became unable to endure the courses of fortune; and fell into shapelessness of life, and baseness in the sight of him who could see, having lost everything that was fairest of their honour; while to the 10 blind hearts which could not discern the true life, tending to happiness, it seemed that they were then chiefly noble and happy, being filled with all iniquity of inordinate possession and power. Whereupon, the God of Gods, whose Kinghood is in laws, beholding a once just nation thus cast into misery, and desiring to lay such punishment upon them as might make them repent into restraining, gathered together all the gods into his dwelling place, which from heaven's centre overlooks whatever has part in creation; and having assembled them. he said "____ 20

The rest is silence. So ended are the last words of the chief wisdom of the heathen, spoken of this idol of riches; this idol of yours; this golden image, high by measureless cubits, set up where your green fields of England are furnaceburnt into the likeness of the plain of Dura: this idol, forbidden to us, first of all idols, by our own Master and faith; forbidden to us also by every human lip that has ever, in any age or people, being accounted of as able to speak according to the purposes of God. Continue to make that forbidden deity your principal one, and soon no more art, 30 no more science, no more pleasure will be possible. Catastrophe will come; or, worse than catastrophe, slow mouldering and withering into Hades. But if you can fix some conception of a true human state of life to be striven for -life for all men as for yourselves-if you can determine some honest and simple order of existence; following those

trodden ways of wisdom, which are pleasantness, and seeking her quiet and withdrawn paths, which are peace;—then, and so sanctifying wealth into "commonwealth," all your art, your literature, your daily labours, your domestic affection, and citizen's duty, will join and increase into one magnificent harmony. You will know then how to build, well enough; you will build with stone well, but with flesh better; temples not made with hands, but riveted of hearts; and that kind of marble, crimson-veined, is indeed eternal.

LECTURE III.—WAR

(Delivered at the Royal Military Academy, Woolwich)

Young soldiers, I do not doubt but that many of you came unwillingly to-night, and many in merely contemptuous curiosity, to hear what a writer on painting could possibly say, or would venture to say, respecting your great art of war. You may well think within yourselves, that a painter might, perhaps without immodesty, lecture younger painters upon painting, but not young lawyers upon law, nor young physicians upon medicine—least of all, it may seem to you, young warriors upon war. And, indeed, when I was asked to address you, I declined at first, and declined long; for I 10 felt that you would not be interested in my special business, and would certainly think there was small need for me to come to teach you yours. Nay, I knew that there ought to be no such need, for the great veteran soldiers of England are now men every way so thoughtful, so noble, and so good, that no other teaching than their knightly example, and their few words of grave and tried counsel, should be either necessary for you, or even, without assurance of due modesty in the offerer, endured by you.

But being asked, not once nor twice, I have not ventured 20 persistently to refuse; and I will try, in very few words, to lay before you some reason why you should accept my excuse, and hear me patiently. You may imagine that your work is wholly foreign to, and separate from, mine. So far from that, all the pure and noble arts of peace are founded on war; no great art ever yet rose on earth, but among a nation of soldiers. There is no art among a shepherd people, if it remains at peace. There is no art among an

agricultural people, if it remains at peace. Commerce is barely consistent with fine art; but cannot produce it. Manufacture not only is unable to produce it, but invariably destroys whatever seeds of it exist. There is no great art possible to a nation but that which is based on battle.

Now, though I hope you love fighting for its own sake, you must, I imagine, be surprised at my assertion that there is any such good fruit of fighting. You supposed, probably, that your office was to defend the works of peace, but cer-10 tainly not to found them: nay, the common course of war, you may have thought, was only to destroy them. And truly, I, who tell you this of the use of war, should have been the last of men to tell you so, had I trusted my own experience only. Hear why: I have given a considerable part of my life to the investigation of Venetian painting; and the result of that enquiry was my fixing upon one man as the greatest of all Venetians, and therefore, as I believed, of all painters whatsoever. I formed this faith, (whether right or wrong matters at present nothing,) in the supremacy 20 of the painter Tintoret, under a roof covered with his pictures; and of those pictures, three of the noblest were then in the form of shreds of ragged canvas, mixed up with the laths of the roof, rent through by three Austrian shells. Now, it is not every lecturer who could tell you that he had seen three of his favourite pictures torn to rags by bombshells. And after such a sight, it is not every lecturer who would tell you that, nevertheless, war was the foundation of all great art.

Yet the conclusion is inevitable, from any careful com-30 parison of the states of great historic races at different periods. Merely to show you what I mean, I will sketch for you, very briefly, the broad steps of the advance of the best art of the world. The first dawn of it is in Egypt; and the power of it is founded on the perpetual contemplation of death, and of future judgment, by the mind of a nation of which the ruling caste were priests, and the second, soldiers. The greatest works produced by them are sculptures of their kings going out to battle, or receiving the homage of conquered armies. And you must remember also, as one of the great keys to the splendour of the Egyptian nation, that the priests were not occupied in theology only. Their theology was the basis of practical government and law; so that they were not so much priests as religious judges; the office of Samuel, among the Jews, being as nearly as possible correspondent to theirs.

All the rudiments of art then, and much more than the 10 rudiments of all science, are laid first by this great warriornation, which held in contempt all mechanical trades, and in absolute hatred the peaceful life of shepherds. From Egypt art passes directly into Greece, where all poetry, and all painting, are nothing else than the description, praise, or dramatic representation of war, or of the exercises which prepare for it, in their connection with offices of religion. All Greek institutions had first respect to war; and their conception of it, as one necessary office of all human and divine life, is expressed simply by the images of their guiding 20 gods. Apollo is the god of all wisdom of the intellect; he bears the arrow and the bow, before he bears the lyre. Again, Athena is the goddess of all wisdom in conduct. It is by the helmet and the shield, oftener than by the shuttle, that she is distinguished from other deities.

There were, however, two great differences in principle between the Greek and the Egyptian theories of policy. In Greece there was no soldier caste; every citizen was necessarily a soldier. And, again, while the Greeks rightly despised mechanical arts as much as the Egyptians, they did 30 not make the fatal mistake of despising agricultural and pastoral life; but perfectly honoured both. These two conditions of truer thought raise them quite into the highest rank of wise manhood that has yet been reached; for all our great arts, and nearly all our great thoughts, have been borrowed or derived from them. Take away from us what

they have given; and I hardly can imagine how low the

modern European would stand. Now, you are to remember, in passing to the next phase of history, that though you must have war to produce art -vou must also have much more than war; namely, an art-instinct or genius in the people; and that, though all the talent for painting in the world won't make painters of you, unless you have a gift for fighting as well, you may have the gift for fighting, and none for painting. Now, in the next 10 great dynasty of soldiers, the art-instinct is wholly wanting. I have not yet investigated the Roman character enough to tell you the causes of this; but I believe, paradoxical as it may seem to you, that, however truly the Roman might say of himself that he was born of Mars, and suckled by the wolf, he was nevertheless, at heart, more of a farmer than a soldier. The exercises of war were with him practical, not poetical; his poetry was in domestic life only, and the object of battle, pacis imponere morem. And the arts are extinguished in his hands, and do not rise again, until, with 20 Gothic chivalry, there comes back into the mind of Europe a passionate delight in war itself, for the sake of war. And then, with the romantic knighthood which can imagine no other noble employment,—under the fighting kings of France, England, and Spain; and under the fighting dukeships and citizenships of Italy, art is born again, and rises to her height in the great valleys of Lombardy and Tuscany, through which there flows not a single stream, from all their Alps or Apennines, that did not once run dark red from battle: and it reaches its culminating glory in the city which gave 30 to history the most intense type of soldiership yet seen among men; -the city whose armies were led in their assault by their king, led through it to victory by their king, and so led, though that king of theirs was blind, and in the extremity

of his age.

And from this time forward, as peace is established or extended in Europe, the arts decline. They reach an un-

paralleled pitch of costliness, but lose their life, enlist themselves at last on the side of luxury and various corruption, and, among wholly tranquil nations, wither utterly away; remaining only in partial practice among races who, like the French and us, have still the minds, though we cannot all live the lives, of soldiers.

"It may be so," I can suppose that a philanthropist might exclaim. "Perish then the arts, if they can flourish only at such a cost. What worth is there in toys of canvas and stone, if compared to the joy and peace of artless domestic 10 life?" And the answer is—truly, in themselves, none. But as expressions of the highest state of the human spirit, their worth is infinite. As results they may be worthless, but, as signs, they are above price. For it is an assured truth that, whenever the faculties of men are at their fulness, they must express themselves by art; and to say that a state is without such expression, is to say that it is sunk from its proper level of manly nature. So that, when I tell you that war is the foundation of all the arts, I mean also that it is the foundation of all the high virtues and faculties of 20 men.

It was very strange to me to discover this; and very dreadful—but I saw it to be quite an undeniable fact. The common notion that peace and the virtues of civil life flourished together, I found to be wholly untenable. Peace and the vices of civil life only flourish together. We talk of peace and learning, and of peace and plenty, and of peace and civilization; but I found that those were not the words which the Muse of History coupled together: that, on her lips, the words were—peace and sensuality, peace and selfish-30 ness, peace and corruption, peace and death. I found, in brief, that all great nations learned their truth of word, and strength of thought, in war; that they were nourished in war, and wasted by peace; taught by war, and deceived by peace; trained by war, and betrayed by peace;—in a word, that they were born in war, and expired in peace.

Yet now note carefully, in the second place, it is not all war of which this can be said-nor all dragon's teeth, which, sown, will start up into men. It is not the ravage of a barbarian wolf-flock, as under Genseric or Suwarrow; nor the habitual restlessness and rapine of mountaineers, as on the old borders of Scotland: nor the occasional struggle of a strong peaceful nation for its life, as in the wars of the Swiss with Austria; nor the contest of merely ambitious nations for extent of power, as in the wars of France under Napoleon, 10 or the just terminated war in America. None of these forms of war build anything but tombs. But the creative or foundational war is that in which the natural restlessness and love of contest among men are disciplined, by consent, into modes of beautiful-though it may be fatal-play: in which the natural ambition and love of power of men are disciplined into the aggressive conquest of surrounding evil: and in which the natural instincts of self-defence are sanctified by the nobleness of the institutions, and purity of the households, which they are appointed to defend. To such war as this 20 all men are born; in such war as this any man may happily die: and forth from such war as this have arisen, throughout the extent of past ages, all the highest sanctities and virtues of humanity.

I shall therefore divide the war of which I would speak to you into three heads. War for exercise or play; war for

dominion; and, war for defence.

I. And first, of war for exercise or play. I speak of it primarily in this light, because, through all past history, manly war has been more an exercise than anything else, 30 among the classes who cause and proclaim it. It is not a game to the conscript, or the pressed sailor; but neither of these are the causers of it. To the governor who determines that war shall be, and to the youths who voluntarily adopt it as their profession, it has always been a grand pastime; and chiefly pursued because they had nothing else to do. And this is true without any exception. No king whose

mind was fully occupied with the development of the inner resources of his kingdom, or with any other sufficing subject of thought, ever entered into war but on compulsion. No youth who was earnestly busy with any peaceful subject of study, or set on any serviceable course of action, ever voluntarily became a soldier. Occupy him, early and wisely, in agriculture or business, in science or in literature, and he will never think of war otherwise than as a calamity. But leave him idle; and, the more brave and active and capable he is by nature, the more he will thirst for some appointed 10 field for action; and find, in the passion and peril of battle, the only satisfying fulfilment of his unoccupied being. And from the earliest incipient civilisation until now, the population of the earth divides itself, when you look at it widely, into two races; one of workers, and the other of playersone tilling the ground, manufacturing, building, and otherwise providing for the necessities of life;-the other part proudly idle, and continually therefore needing recreation, in which they use the productive and laborious orders partly as their cattle, and partly as their puppets or pieces in the 20 game of death.

Now, remember, whatever virtue or goodliness there may be in this game of war, rightly played, there is none when you thus play it with a multitude of small human pawns.

If you, the gentlemen of this or any other kingdom, choose to make your pastime of contest, do so, and welcome; but set not up these unhappy peasant-pieces upon the green fielded board. If the wager is to be of death, lay it on your own heads, not theirs. A goodly struggle in the Olympic dust, though it be the dust of the grave, the gods will look 30 upon, and be with you in; but they will not be with you, if you sit on the sides of the amphitheatre, whose steps are the mountains of earth, whose arena its valleys, to urge your peasant millions into gladiatorial war. You also, you tender and delicate women, for whom, and by whose command, all true battle has been, and must ever be; you would perhaps

shrink now, though you need not, from the thought of sitting as queens above set lists where the jousting game might be mortal. How much more, then, ought you to shrink from the thought of sitting above a theatre pit in which even a few condemned slaves were slaying each other only for your delight! And do you not shrink from the fact of sitting above a theatre pit, where,—not condemned slaves, -but the best and bravest of the poor sons of your people, slay each other,-not man to man,-as the coupled gladia-10 tors; but race to race, in duel of generations? You would tell me, perhaps, that you do not sit to see this; and it is indeed true, that the women of Europe-those who have no heart-interest of their own at peril in the contest-draw the curtains of their boxes, and muffle the openings; so that from the pit of the circus of slaughter there may reach them only at intervals a half-heard cry and a murmur as of the wind's sighing, when myriads of souls expire. They shut out the death-cries; and are happy, and talk wittily among themselves. That is the utter literal fact of what our ladies do

20 in their pleasant lives.

carelessness; we cannot help them. How can any final quarrel of nations be settled otherwise than by war?" I cannot now delay, to tell you how political quarrels might be otherwise settled. But grant that they cannot. Grant that no law of reason can be understood by nations; no law of justice submitted to by them: and that, while questions of a few acres, and of petty cash, can be determined by truth 30 and equity, the questions which are to issue in the perishing or saving of kingdoms can be determined only by the truth of the sword, and the equity of the rifle. Grant this, and even then, judge if it will always be necessary for you to put your quarrel into the hearts of your poor, and sign your treaties with peasants' blood. You would be ashamed to do this in your own private position and power. Why should you

Nay, you might answer, speaking for them—"We do not let these wars come to pass for our play, nor by our

not be ashamed also to do it in public place and power? If you quarrel with your neighbour, and the quarrel be indeterminable by law, and mortal, you and he do not send your footmen to Battersea fields to fight it out; nor do you set fire to his tenants' cottages, nor spoil their goods. You fight out your quarrel yourselves, and at your own danger, if at all. And you do not think it materially affects the arbitrament that one of you has a larger household than the other; so that, if the servants or tenants were brought into the field with their masters, the issue of the contest could 10 not be doubtful? You either refuse the private duel, or you practise it under laws of honour, not of physical force; that so it may be, in a manner, justly concluded. Now the just or unjust conclusion of the private feud is of little moment, while the just or unjust conclusion of the public feud is of eternal moment: and yet, in this public quarrel, you take your servants' sons from their arms to fight for it, and your servants' food from their lips to support it; and the black seals on the parchment of your treaties of peace are the deserted hearth and the fruitless field. There is a 20 ghastly ludicrousness in this, as there is mostly in these wide and universal crimes. Hear the statement of the very fact of it in the most literal words of the greatest of our English thinkers :--

"What, speaking in quite unofficial language, is the net purport and upshot of war? To my own knowledge, for example, there dwell and toil, in the British village of Dumdrudge, usually some five hundred souls. From these, by certain 'natural enemies' of the French there are successively selected, during the French war, say thirty able-bodied 30 men. Dumdrudge, at her own expense, has suckled and nursed them; she has, not without difficulty and sorrow, fed them up to manhood, and even trained them to crafts, so that one can weave, another build, another hammer, and the weakest can stand under thirty stone avoirdupois. Nevertheless, amid much weeping and swearing, they are

selected; all dressed in red; and shipped away, at the public charges, some two thousand miles, or say only to the

south of Spain; and fed there till wanted.

"And now to that same spot in the south of Spain are thirty similar French artisans, from a French Dumdrudge, in like manner wending; till at length, after infinite effort, the two parties come into actual juxtaposition; and Thirty stands fronting Thirty, each with a gun in his hand.

"Straightway the word 'Fire!' is given and they blow 10 the souls out of one another, and in place of sixty brisk useful craftsmen, the world has sixty dead carcases, which it must bury, and anon shed tears for. Had these men any quarrel? Busy as the devil is, not the smallest! They lived far enough apart; were the entirest strangers; nay, in so wide a universe, there was even, unconsciously, by commerce, some mutual helpfulness between them. How then? Simpleton! their governors had fallen out; and instead of shooting one another, had the cunning to make these poor blockheads shoot." (Sartor Resartus.)

20 Positively, then, gentlemen, the game of battle must not, and shall not, ultimately be played this way. But should it be played any way? Should it, if not by your servants, be practised by yourselves? I think, yes. Both history and human instinct seem alike to say, yes. All healthy men like fighting, and like the sense of danger; all brave women like to hear of their fighting, and of their facing danger. This is a fixed instinct in the fine race of them; and I cannot help fancying that fair fight is the best play for them; and that a tournament was a better game than a 30 steeple-chase. The time may perhaps come in France as

30 steeple-chase. The time may perhaps come in France as well as here, for universal hurdle-races and cricketing: but I do not think universal cricket will bring out the best qualities of the nobles of either country. I use, in such question, the test which I have adopted, of the connection of war with other arts; and I reflect how, as a sculptor,

I should feel, if I were asked to design a monument for a dead knight, in Westminster Abbey, with a carving of a bat at one end, and a ball at the other. It may be the remains in me only of savage Gothic prejudice; but I had rather carve it with a shield at one end, and a sword at the other. And this, observe, with no reference whatever to any story of duty done, or cause defended. Assume the knight merely to have ridden out occasionally to fight his neighbour for exercise; assume him even a soldier of fortune, and to have gained his bread, and filled his purse, at the sword's point. 10 Still, I feel as if it were, somehow, grander and worthier in him to have made his bread by sword play than any other play; I had rather he had made it by thrusting than by batting; -much more, than by betting. Much rather that he should ride war horses, than back racehorses; and-I say it sternly and deliberately—much rather would I have him slay his neighbour than cheat him.

But remember, so far as this may be true, the game of war is only that in which the full personal power of the human creature is brought out in management of its weapons. And 20

this for three reasons :-

First, the great justification of this game is that it truly, when well played, determines who is the best man;—who is the highest bred, the most self-denying, the most fearless, the coolest of nerve, the swiftest of eye and hand. You cannot test these qualities wholly, unless there is a clear possibility of the struggle's ending in death. It is only in the fronting of that condition that the full trial of the man, soul and body, comes out. You may go to your game of wickets, or of hurdles, or of cards, and any knavery that is 30 in you may stay unchallenged all the while. But if the play may be ended at any moment by a lance-thrust, a man will probably make up his accounts a little before he enters it. Whatever is rotten and evil in him will weaken his hand more in holding a sword hilt, than in balancing a billiard cue; and on the whole, the habit of living lightly hearted,

in daily presence of death, always has had, and must have, a tendency both to the making and testing of honest men. But for the final testing, observe, you must make the issue of battle strictly dependent on fineness of frame, and firmness of hand. You must not make it the question, which of the combatants has the longest gun, or which has got behind the biggest tree, or which has the wind in his face, or which has gunpowder made by the best chemists, or iron smelted with the best coal, or the angriest mob at his back.

10 Decide your battle, whether of nations or individuals, on those terms;—and you have only multiplied confusion, and added slaughter to iniquity. But decide your battle by pure trial which has the strongest arm, and steadiest heart,—and you have gone far to decide a great many matters

besides, and to decide them rightly.

And the other reasons for this mode of decision of cause, are the diminution both of the material destructiveness. or cost, and of the physical distress of war. For you must not think that in speaking to you in this (as you may 20 imagine) fantastic praise of battle, I have overlooked the conditions weighing against me. I pray all of you, who have not read, to read with the most earnest attention, Mr. Helps' two essays, on War, and Government, in the first volume of the last series of Friends in Council. Everything that can be urged against war is there simply, exhaustively, and most graphically stated. And all, there urged, is true. But the two great counts of evil alleged against war by that most thoughtful writer, hold only against modern war. If you have to take away masses of men from all industrial 30 employment,—to feed them by the labour of others, to move them and provide them with destructive machines, varied daily in national rivalship of inventive cost; if you have to ravage the country which you attack,—to destroy, for a score of future years, its roads, its woods, its cities, and its harbours; -and if, finally, having brought masses of men, counted by hundreds of thousands, face to face, you

tear those masses to pieces with jagged shot, and leave the fragments of living creatures, countlessly beyond all help of surgery, to starve and parch, through days of torture, down into clots of clay—what book of accounts shall record the cost of your work;—What book of judgment sentence the guilt of it?

That, I say, is modern war,—scientific war,—chemical and mechanic war,—worse even than the savage's poisoned arrow. And yet you will tell me, perhaps, that any other war than this is impossible now. It may be so; the pro- 10 gress of science cannot, perhaps, be otherwise registered than by new facilities of destruction; and the brotherly love of our enlarging Christianity be only proved by multiplication of murder. Yet hear, for a moment, what war was, in Pagan and ignorant days;—what war might yet be, if we could extinguish our science in darkness, and join the heathen's practice to the Christian's theory. I read you this from a book which probably most of you know well, and all ought to know—Müller's Dorians;—but I have put the points I wish you to remember in closer connection than 20 in his text.

"The chief characteristic of the warriors of Sparta was great composure and a subdued strength; the violence $(\lambda \acute{v} \sigma \sigma a)$ of Aristodemus and Isadas being considered as deserving rather of blame than praise; and these qualities in general distinguished the Greeks from the northern Barbarians, whose boldness always consisted in noise and tumult. For the same reason the Spartans sacrificed to the Muses before an action; these goddesses being expected to produce regularity and order in battle; as they sacrificed on the same 30 occasion in Crete to the god of love, as the confirmer of mutual esteem and shame. Every man put on a crown, when the band of flute-players gave the signal for attack; all the shields of the line glittered with their high polish, and mingled their splendour with the dark red of the purple mantles, which were meant both to adorn the combatant, and to con-

ceal the blood of the wounded; to fall well and decorously being an incentive the more to the most heroic valour. The conduct of the Spartans in battle denotes a high and noble disposition, which rejected all the extremes of brutal rage. The pursuit of the enemy ceased when the victory was completed; and after the signal for retreat had been given, all hostilities ceased. The spoiling of arms, at least during the battle, was also interdicted; and the consecration of the spoils of slain enemies to the gods, as, in general, all 10 rejoicings for victory, were considered as ill-omened."

Such was the war of the greatest soldiers who prayed to heathen gods. What Christian war is, preached by Christian ministers, let any one tell you, who saw the sacred crowning, and heard the sacred flute-playing, and was inspired and sanctified by the divinely-measured and musical language, of any North American regiment preparing for its charge. And what is the relative cost of life in pagan and Christian wars, let this one fact tell you:—the Spartans won the decisive battle of Corinth with the loss of eight men; the 20 victors at indecisive Gettysburg confess to the loss of 30,000.

II. I pass now to our second order of war, the commonest among men, that undertaken in desire of dominion. And let me ask you to think for a few moments what the real meaning of this desire of dominion is—first in the minds of kings—then in that of nations.

Now, mind you this first,—that I speak either about kings, or masses of men, with a fixed conviction that human nature is a noble and beautiful thing; not a foul nor a base thing. All the sin of men I esteem as their disease, not their 30 nature; as a folly which may be prevented, not a necessity which must be accepted. And my wonder, even when things are at their worst, is always at the height which this human nature can attain. Thinking it high, I find it always a higher thing than I thought it; while those who think it low, find it, and will find it, always, lower than they thought it; the

fact being, that it is infinite, and capable of infinite height and infinite fall; but the nature of it—and here is the faith which I would have you hold with me—the nature of it is in the nobleness, not in the catastrophe.

Take the faith in its utmost terms. When the captain of the London shook hands with his mate, saying, "God speed you! I will go down with my passengers," that I believe to be "human nature." He does not do it from any religious motive,-from any hope of reward, or any fear of punishment; he does it because he is a man. But when a 10 mother, living among the fair fields of merry England, gives her two-year-old child to be suffocated under a mattress in her inner room, while the said mother waits and talks outside: that I believe to be not human nature. You have the two extremes there, shortly. And you, men, and mothers, who are here face to face with me to-night, I call upon you to say which of these is human, and which inhuman.—which "natural" and which "unnatural." Choose your creed at once, I beseech you:-choose it with unshaken choice,—choose it for ever. Will you take, for 20 foundation of act and hope, the faith that this man was such as God made him, or that this woman was such as God made her? Which of them has failed from their nature. -from their present, possible, actual nature; -not their nature of long ago, but their nature of now? Which has betrayed it-falsified it? Did the guardian who died in his trust, die inhumanly, and as a fool; and did the murderess of her child fulfil the law of her being? Choose, I say; infinitude of choices hang upon this. You have had false prophets among you,-for centuries you have had them, 30 -solemnly warned against them though you were; false prophets, who have told you that all men are nothing but fiends or wolves, half beast, half devil. Believe that, and indeed you may sink to that. But refuse that, and have faith that God "made you upright," though you have sought out many inventions; so, you will strive daily to become

man's work? If any of us were absolute lord only of a district of a hundred miles square, and were resolved on doing our utmost for it; making it feed as large a number of people as possible; making every clod productive, and every rock defensive, and every human being happy; should we not have enough on our hands, think you?

But if the ruler has any other aim than this; if, careless of the result of his interference, he desire only the authority to interfere; and, regardless of what is 10 ill-done or well-done, cares only that it shall be done at his bidding; -if he would rather do two hundred miles' space of mischief, than one hundred miles' space of good, of course he will try to add to his territory; and to add illimitably. But does he add to his power? Do you call it power in a child, if he is allowed to play with the wheels and bands of some vast engine, pleased with their murmur and whirl, till his unwise touch, wandering where it ought not, scatters beam and wheel into ruin? Yet what machine is so vast, so incognisable, as the working of 20 the mind of a nation; what child's touch so wanton, as the word of a selfish king? And yet, how long have we allowed the historian to speak of the extent of the calamity a man causes, as a just ground for his pride; and to extol him as the greatest prince, who is only the centre of the widest error. Follow out this thought by yourselves; and you will find that all power, properly so called, is wise and benevolent. may be capacity in a drifting fire-ship to destroy a fleet; there may be venom enough in a dead body to infect a nation:-but

which of you, the most ambitious, would desire a drifting king-30 hood, robed in consuming fire, or a poison-dipped sceptre whose touch was mortal? There is no true potency, remember, but that of help; nor true ambition, but ambition to save.

And then, observe farther, this true power, the power of saving, depends neither on multitude of men, nor on extent of territory. We are continually assuming that nations become strong according to their numbers. They indeed

become so, if those numbers can be made of one mind; but how are you sure you can stay them in one mind, and keep them from having north and south minds? Grant them unanimous, how know you they will be unanimous in right? If they are unanimous in wrong, the more they are, essentially the weaker they are. Or, suppose that they can neither be of one mind, nor of two minds, but can only be of no mind? Suppose they are a mere helpless mob; tottering into precipitant catastrophe, like a waggon-load of stones when the wheel comes off. Dangerous enough for their 10 neighbours, certainly, but not "powerful."

Neither does strength depend on extent of territory, any more than upon number of population. Take up your maps when you go home this evening,—put the cluster of British Isles beside the mass of South America; and then consider whether any race of men need care how much ground they stand upon. The strength is in the men, and in their unity and virtue, not in their standing room: a little group of wise hearts is better than a wilderness full of fools; and only that

nation gains true territory, which gains itself.

And now for the brief practical outcome of all this. Remember, no government is ultimately strong, but in proportion to its kindness and justice; and that a nation does not strengthen, by merely multiplying and diffusing itself. We have not strengthened as yet, by multiplying into America. Nav. even when it has not to encounter the separating conditions of emigration, a nation need not boast itself of multiplying on its own ground, if it multiplies only as flies or locusts do, with the god of flies for its god. It multiplies its strength only by increasing as one great family, in per- 30 fect fellowship and brotherhood. And lastly, it does not strengthen itself by seizing dominion over races whom it cannot benefit. Austria is not strengthened, but weakened, by her grasp of Lombardy; and whatever apparent increase of majesty and of wealth may have accrued to us from the possession of India, whether these prove to us ultimately

power or weakness, depends wholly on the degree in which our influence on the native race shall be benevolent and exalting. But, as it is at their own peril that any race extends their dominion in mere desire of power, so it is at their own still greater peril that they refuse to undertake aggressive war, according to their force, whenever they are assured that their authority would be helpful and protective. need you listen to any sophistical objection of the impossibility of knowing when a people's help is needed, or when 10 not. Make your national conscience clean, and your national eyes will soon be clear. No man who is truly ready to take part in a noble quarrel will ever stand long in doubt by whom, or in what cause, his aid is needed. I hold it my duty to make no political statement of any special bearing in this presence; but I tell you broadly and boldly, that, within these last ten years, we English have, as a knightly nation, lost our spurs: we have fought where we should not have fought, for gain; and we have been passive where we should not have been passive, for fear. I tell you that the principle 20 of non-intervention, as now preached among us, is as selfish and cruel as the worst frenzy of conquest, and differs from it only by being, not only malignant, but dastardly.

I know, however, that my opinions on this subject differ too widely from those ordinarily held, to be any farther intruded upon you; and therefore I pass lastly to examine the conditions of the third kind of noble war;—war waged simply for defence of the country in which we were born, and for the maintenance and execution of her laws, by whomsoever threatened or defied. It is to this duty that I

30 suppose most men entering the army consider themselves in reality to be bound, and I want you now to reflect what the laws of mere defence are; and what the soldier's duty, as now understood, or supposed to be understood. You have solemnly devoted yourselves to be English soldiers, for the guardianship of England. I want you to feel what this vow of yours indeed means, or is gradually coming to mean.

You take it upon you, first, while you are sentimental schoolboys; you go into your military convent, or barracks, just as a girl goes into her convent while she is a sentimental schoolgirl; neither of you then know what you are about, though both the good soldiers and good nuns make the best of it afterwards. You don't understand perhaps why I call you "sentimental" schoolboys, when you go into the army? Because, on the whole, it is the love of adventure, of excitement, of fine dress and of the pride of fame, all which are sentimental motives, which chiefly make a boy 10 like going into the Guards better than into a counting-house. You fancy, perhaps, that there is a severe sense of duty mixed with these peacocky motives? And in the best of you, there is; but do not think that it is principal. If you cared to do your duty to your country in a prosaic and unsentimental way, depend upon it, there is now truer duty to be done in raising harvests, than in burning them; more in building houses, than in shelling them-more in winning money by your own work, wherewith to help men, than in taxing other people's work, for money wherewith to slay 20 men; -more duty finally, in honest and unselfish living than in honest and unselfish dying, though that seems to your boys' eyes the bravest. So far then, as for your own honour, and the honour of your families, you choose brave death in a red coat before brave life in a black one, you are sentimental; and now see what this passionate vow of yours comes to. For a little while you ride, and you hunt tigers or savages, you shoot, and are shot; you are happy, and proud, always, and honoured and wept if you die; and you are satisfied with your life, and with the end of it; believing, 30 on the whole, that good rather than harm of it comes to others, and much pleasure to you.

But as the sense of duty enters into your forming minds, the vow takes another aspect. You find that you have put yourselves into the hand of your country as a weapon. You have vowed to strike, when she bids

you, and to stay scabbarded when she bids you; all that you need answer for is, that you fail not in her grasp. And there is goodness in this, and greatness, if you can trust the hand and heart of the Britomart who has braced you to her side, and are assured that when she leaves you sheathed in darkness, there is no need for your flash to the sun. But remember, good and noble as this state may be, it is a state of slavery. There are different kinds of slaves and different masters. Some slaves are 10 scourged to their work by whips, others are scourged to it by restlessness or ambition. It does not matter what the whip is; it is none the less a whip, because you have cut thongs for it out of your own souls: the fact, so far, of slavery, is in being driven to your work without thought, at another's bidding. Again, some slaves are bought with money, and others with praise. It matters not what the purchase-money is. The distinguishing sign of slavery is to have a price, and be bought for it. Again, it matters not what kind of work you are set on; some slaves are set to 20 forced diggings, others to forced marches; some dig furrows, others field-works, and others graves. Some press the juice of reeds, and some the juice of vines, and some the blood of men. The fact of the captivity is the same whatever the work we are set upon, though the fruits of the toil may be different.

But, remember, in thus vowing ourselves to be the slaves of any master, it ought to be some subject of forethought with us, what work he is likely to put us upon. You may think that the whole duty of a soldier is to be passive, that it is the country you have left behind who is to command, 30 and you have only to obey. But are you sure that you have left all your country behind, or that the part of it you have so left is indeed the best part of it? Suppose—and, remember, it is quite conceivable—that you yourselves are indeed the best part of England; that you, who have become the slaves, ought to have been the masters; and that those who are the masters, ought to have been the slaves!

If it is a noble and whole-hearted England, whose bidding you are bound to do, it is well; but if you are yourselves the best of her heart, and the England you have left be but a half-hearted England, how say you of your obedience? You were too proud to become shopkeepers: are you satisfied then to become the servants of shopkeepers? You were too proud to become merchants or farmers yourselves: will you have merchants or farmers then for your field-marshals? You had no gifts of special grace for Exeter Hall: will you have some gifted person thereat for your commander-in-chief, to judge of your work, and reward it? You imagine yourselves to be the army of England: how if you should find yourselves, at last, only the police of her manufacturing towns, and the beadles of her little Bethels?

It is not so yet, nor will be so, I trust, for ever; but what I want you to see, and to be assured of, is, that the ideal of soldiership is not mere passive obedience and bravery; that, so far from this, no country is in a healthy state which has separated, even in a small degree, her civil from her military power. All states of the world, however great, 20 fall at once when they use mercenary armies; and although it is a less instant form of error, (because involving no national taint of cowardice,) it is yet an error no less ultimately fatal-it is the error especially of modern times, of which we cannot yet know all the calamitous consequences, -to take away the best blood and strength of the nation, all the soul-substance of it that is brave, and careless of reward, and scornful of pain, and faithful in trust; and to cast that into steel, and make a mere sword of it; taking away its voice and will; but to keep the worst part of the 30 nation-whatever is cowardly, avaricious, sensual, and faithless-and to give to this the voice, to this the authority, to this the chief privilege, where there is least capacity, of thought. The fulfilment of your vow for the defence of England will by no means consist in carrying out such a system. You are not true soldiers, if you only mean to stand at a shop-

door, to protect shop-boys who are cheating inside. A soldier's vow to his country is that he will die for the guardianship of her domestic virtue, of her righteous laws, and of her any-way challenged or endangered honour. A state without virtue, without laws, and without honour, he is bound not to defend; nay, bound to redress by his own right hand that which he sees to be base in her. So sternly is this the law of Nature and life, that a nation once utterly corrupt can only be redeemed by a military despotism-10 never by talking, nor by its free effort. And the health of any state consists simply in this; that in it, those who are wisest shall also be strongest; its rulers should be also its soldiers; or, rather, by force of intellect more than of sword, its soldiers also its rulers. Whatever the hold which the aristocracy of England has on the heart of England, in that they are still always in front of her battles, this hold will not be enough, unless they are also in front of her thoughts. And truly her thoughts need good captain's leading now, if ever! Do you know what, by this beautiful division of 20 labour (her brave men fighting, and her cowards thinking), she has come at last to think? Here is a bit of a paper in my hand,1 a good one too, and an honest one; quite representative of the best common public thought of England at this moment; and it is holding forth in one of its leaders upon our "social welfare,"—upon our "vivid life"—upon

¹I do not care to refer to the journal quoted, because the article was unworthy of its general tone, though in order to enable the audience to verify the quoted sentence, I left the number containing it on the table, when I gave this lecture. But a saying of Baron Liebig's, quoted at the head of a leader on the same subject in the Daily Telegraph of January 11, 1866, summarily digests and presents the maximum folly of modern thought in this respect. "Civilization," says the Baron, "is the economy of power, and English power is coal." Not altogether so, my chemical friend. Civilization is the making of civil persons, which is a kind of distillation of which alembics are incapable, and does not at all imply the turning of a small company of gentlemen into a large company of ironmongers. And English power (what little of it may be left) is by no means coal, but, indeed, of that which, "when the whole world turns to coal, then chiefly lives."

the "political supremacy of Great Britain." And what do you think all these are owing to? To what our English sires have done for us, and taught us, age after age? No: not to that. To our honesty of heart, or coolness of head, or steadiness of will? No: not to these. To our thinkers, or our statesmen, or our poets, or our captains, or our martyrs, or the patient labour of our poor? No: not to these; or at least not to these in any chief measure. Nay, says the journal, "more than any agency, it is the cheapness and abundance of our coal which have made us what 10 we are." If it be so, then "ashes to ashes" be our epitaph! and the sooner the better.

I tell you, gentlemen of England, if ever you would have your country breathe the pure air of heaven again, and receive again a soul into her body, instead of rotting into a carcase, blown up in the belly with carbonic acid (and great that way), you must think, and feel, for your England, as well as fight for her: you must teach her that all the true greatness she ever had, or ever can have, she won while her fields were green and her faces ruddy;-that 20 greatness is still possible for Englishmen, even though the ground be not hollow under their feet, nor the sky black over their heads ;-and that, when the day comes for their country to lay her honours in the dust, her crest will not rise from it more loftily because it is dust of coal. Gentlemen, I tell you, solemnly, that the day is coming when the soldiers of England must be her tutors; and the captains of her army, captains also of her mind,

And now, remember, you soldier youths, who are thus in all ways the hope of your country; or must be, if she 30 have any hope: remember that your fitness for all future trust depends upon what you are now. No good soldier in his old age was ever careless or indolent in his youth. Many a giddy and thoughtless boy has become a good bishop, or a good lawyer, or a good merchant; but no such an one ever became a good general. I challenge you, in all history,

to find a record of a good soldier who was not grave and earnest in his youth. And, in general, I have no patience with people who talk about "the thoughtlessness of youth" indulgently. I had infinitely rather hear of thoughtless old age, and the indulgence due to that. When a man has done his work, and nothing can any way be materially altered in his fate, let him forget his toil, and jest with his fate, if he will; but what excuse can you find for wilfulness of thought, at the very time when every crisis of future fortune 10 hangs on your decisions? A youth thoughtless! when all the happiness of his home for ever depends on the chances, or the passions, of an hour! A youth thoughtless! when the career of all his days depends on the opportunity of a moment! A youth thoughtless! when his every act is a foundation-stone of future conduct, and every imagination

a fountain of life or death! Be thoughtless in any after years, rather than now—though, indeed, there is only one place

where a man may be nobly thoughtless,—his death-bed. No thinking should ever be left to be done there.

20 Having, then, resolved that you will not waste recklessly, but earnestly use, these early days of yours, remember that all the duties of her children to England may be summed in two words-industry, and honour. I say, first, industry, for it is in this that soldier youth are especially tempted to fail. Yet, surely, there is no reason, because your life may possibly or probably be shorter than other men's, that you should therefore waste more recklessly the portion of it that is granted you; neither do the duties of your profession, which require you to keep your bodies strong, in any wise 30 involve the keeping of your minds weak. So far from that, the experience, the hardship, and the activity of a soldier's life render his powers of thought more accurate than those of other men; and while, for others, all knowledge is often little more than a means of amusement, there is no form of science which a soldier may not at some time or other find bearing on business of life and death. A young mathematician may be excused for languor in studying curves to be described only with a pencil; but not in tracing those which are to be described with a rocket. Your knowledge of a wholesome herb may involve the feeding of an army; and acquaintance with an obscure point of geography, the success of a campaign. Never waste an instant's time, therefore; the sin of idleness is a thousand-fold greater in you than in other youths; for the fates of those who will one day be under your command hang upon your knowledge; lost moments now will be lost lives then, and every instant which 10 you carelessly take for play, you buy with blood.

But there is one way of wasting time, of all the vilest, because it wastes, not time only, but the interest and energy Of all the ungentlemanly habits into which of your minds. you can fall, the vilest is betting, or interesting yourselves in the issues of betting. It unites nearly every condition of folly and vice; you concentrate your interest upon a matter of chance, instead of upon a subject of true knowledge; and you back opinions which you have no grounds for forming, merely because they are your own. All the insolence of egotism 20 is in this; and so far as the love of excitement is complicated with the hope of winning money, you turn yourselves into the basest sort of tradesmen—those who live by speculation. Were there no other ground for industry, this would be a sufficient one; that it protected you from the temptation to so scandalous a vice. Work faithfully, and you will put yourselves in possession of a glorious and enlarging happiness; not such as can be won by the speed of a horse, or marred by the obliquity of a ball.

First, then, by industry you must fulfil your vow to your 30 country; but all industry and earnestness will be useless unless they are consecrated by your resolution to be in all things men of honour; not honour in the common sense only, but in the highest. Rest on the force of the two main words in the great verse, "integer vitae, scelerisque purus." You have vowed your life to England; give it her wholly;

-a bright, stainless, perfect life-a knightly life. Because you have to fight with machines instead of lances, there may be a necessity for more ghastly danger, but there is none for less worthiness of character, than in olden time. You may be true knights yet, though perhaps not equites; you may have to call yourselves 'canonry' instead of 'chivalry,' but that is no reason why you should not call yourselves true men. So the first thing you have to see to in becoming soldiers is that you make yourselves wholly Courage is a mere matter of course among any ordinarily well-born youths: but neither truth nor gentleness is matter of course. You must bind them like shields about your necks; you must write them on the tables of your hearts. Though it be not exacted of you, yet exact it of yourselves, the vow of stainless truth. Your hearts are, if you leave them unstirred, as tombs in which a god lies buried. Vow yourselves crusaders to redeem that sacred sepulchre. And remember before all things—for no other memory will be so protective of you-that the highest law of this 20 knightly truth is that under which it is vowed to women. Whomsoever else you deceive, whomsoever you injure, whomsoever you leave unaided, you must not deceive, nor injure, nor leave unaided, according to your power, any woman, of whatever rank. Believe me, every virtue of the higher phases of manly character begins in this; -in truth and modesty before the face of all maidens; in truth and pity, or truth and reverence, to all womanhood.

And now let me turn for a moment to you,—wives and maidens, who are the souls of soldiers; to you—mothers, 30 who have devoted your children to the great hierarchy of war. Let me ask you to consider what part you have to take for the aid of those who love you; for if you fail in your part they cannot fulfil theirs; such absolute helpmates you are that no man can stand without that help, nor labour in his own strength.

I know your hearts, and that the truth of them never

fails when an hour of trial comes which you recognize for such. But you know not when the hour of trial first finds you, nor when it verily finds you. You imagine that you are only called upon to wait and to suffer: to surrender and to mourn. You know that you must not weaken the hearts of your husbands and lovers, even by the one fear of which those hearts are capable,—the fear of parting from you, or of causing you grief. Through weary years of separation; through fearful expectancies of unknown fate; through the tenfold bitterness of the sorrow which might so easily 10 have been joy, and the tenfold yearning for glorious life struck down in its prime;—through all these agonies you fail not, and never will fail. But your trial is not in these. be heroic in danger is little;—vou are Englishwomen. be heroic in change and sway of fortune is little; -for do you not love? To be patient through the great chasm and pause of loss is little :--for do you not still love in heaven? But to be heroic in happiness; to bear yourselves gravely and righteously in the dazzling of the sunshine of morning; not to forget the God in whom you trust, when He gives you 20 most; not to fail those who trust you, when they seem to need you least; this is the difficult fortitude. It is not in the pining of absence, not in the peril of battle, not in the wasting of sickness, that your prayer should be most passionate, or your guardianship most tender. Pray, mothers and maidens, for your young soldiers in the bloom of their pride; pray for them, while the only dangers round them are in their own wayward wills; watch you, and pray, when they have to face, not death, but temptation. But it is this fortitude also for which there is the crowning reward. Believe 30 me, the whole course and character of your lovers' lives is in your hands; what you would have them be, they shall be, if you not only desire to have them so, but deserve to have them so; for they are but mirrors in which you will see yourselves imaged. If you are frivolous, they will be so also; if you have no understanding of the scope of their

duty, they also will forget it; they will listen,-they can listen,-to no other interpretation of it than that uttered from your lips. Bid them be brave; -they will be brave for you: bid them be cowards; — and how noble soever they be, they will quail for you. Bid them be wise, and they will be wise for you; mock at their counsel, they will be fools for you: such and so absolute is your rule over them. You fancy, perhaps, as you have been told so often, that a wife's rule should only be over her husband's house, not 10 over his mind. Ah, no! the true rule is just the reverse of that; a true wife, in her husband's house, is his servant; it is in his heart that she is queen. Whatever of best he can conceive, it is her part to be; whatever of highest he can hope, it is hers to promise; all that is dark in him she must purge into purity; all that is failing in him she must strengthen into truth; from her, through all the world's clamour, he must win his praise; in her, through all the world's warfare, he must find his peace.

And, now, but one word more. You may wonder, perhaps, 20 that I have spoken all this night in praise of war. Yet, truly, if it might be, I, for one, would fain join in the cadence of hammer-strokes that should beat swords into ploughshares: and that this cannot be, is not the fault of us men. It is your fault. Wholly yours. Only by your command, or by your permission, can any contest take place among us. And the real, final, reason for all the poverty, misery, and rage of battle, throughout Europe, is simply that you women, however good, however religious, however self-sacrificing for those you love, are too selfish and too thoughtless to take 30 pains for any creature out of your own immediate circles. You fancy that you are sorry for the pain of others. Now I just tell you this, that if the usual course of war, instead of unroofing peasants' houses, and ravaging peasants' fields, merely broke the china upon your own drawing-room tables, no war in civilized countries would last a week. I tell you more, that at whatever moment you chose to put a period to war, you could do it with less trouble than you take any day to go out to dinner. You know, or at least you might know if you would think, that every battle you hear of has made many widows and orphans. We have, none of us, heart enough truly to mourn with these. But at least we might put on the outer symbols of mourning with them. Let but every Christian lady who has conscience toward God, vow that she will mourn, at least outwardly, for His killed creatures. Your praying is useless, and your churchgoing mere mockery of God, if you have not plain obedience 10 in you enough for this. Let every lady in the upper classes of civilized Europe simply vow that, while any cruel war proceeds, she will wear black;—a mute's black,—with no jewel, no ornament, no excuse for, or evasion into, prettiness—I tell you again, no war would last a week.

And, lastly. You women of England are all now shrieking with one voice,—you and your clergymen together, because you hear of your Bibles being attacked. If you choose to obey your Bibles, you will never care who attacks them. It is just because you never fulfil a single downright 20 precept of the Book, that you are so careful for its credit: and just because you don't care to obey its whole words, that you are so particular about the letters of them. The Bible tells you to dress plainly,—and you are mad for finery; the Bible tells you to have pity on the poor,—and you crush them under your carriage wheels; the Bible tells you to do judgment and justice,—and you do not know, nor care to know, so much as what the Bible word "justice" means. Do but learn so much of God's truth as that comes to; know what He means when He tells you to be just; and teach 30 your sons, that their bravery is but a fool's boast, and their deeds but a firebrand's tossing, unless they are indeed Just men, and Perfect in the Fear of God; -and you will soon have no more war, unless it be indeed such as is willed by Him, of whom, though Prince of Peace, it is also written, "In Righteousness He doth judge, and make war."



NOTES

PREFACE

- P. 1, 1. 4. Wandle, a river in Surrey which flows into the Thames at Wandsworth.
- 15. Maremma (Italian), marshy land by the sea-shore, a term specially used of the unhealthy country near Pisa.
- 16. Campagna, the unhealthy low-lying country to the immediate south of Rome.
- 16. Torcello, an island in the lagoons near Venice, once the site of a flourishing city: two noble churches are still standing there. (See Ruskin's Stones of Venice, vol. ii.)
- P. 2, 1. 13. scoria, properly "the slag or dross remaining after the smelting out of a metal from its ore" (N. E. D.).
- 22. troubled of angels: see the story in St. John's Gospel, v. 4 (a verse not found in all manuscripts, and only printed in the margin of the English Revised Version).
 - P. 4, l. 34 reiver (reaver), an archaic word for 'robber, ravager.'
- P. 12, l. 16. Crown... of wild olive. Penia (Poverty), one of the characters in Aristophanes' play of *Plutus*, says:
 - "Zeus wealthy! Not he: he's as poor as can be: and this I can prove in a minute.
 - If Zeus be so wealthy, how came it of yore that out of his riches abounding
 - He could find but a wreath of wild olive for those who should win at the games he was founding
 - By all the Hellenes in each fourth year on Olympia's plains to be holden?
 - If Zeus were so wealthy and rich as you say, the wreath should at least have been golden" (Rogers' translation).

LECTURE I

- P. 18, l. 20 "many mansions": St. John's Gospel, xiv. 1.
- 21. angelic surveyors: Revelation, xxi. 15.
- P. 20, l. 6. red and black country of Baden Baden: in allusion to the gaming tables.
 - 8. one moment unamused: Young, Night Thoughts, Night II.

P. 21, l. 12. chiar' oscuro: Italian (literally, 'clear-obscure'), the effects of contrasted light and shade in paintings or engravings.

P. 24, l. 13. least erected: Paradise Lost, I. 679, "Mammon,

the least erected spirit that fell From Heaven."

P. 27, l. 8. Bishop Colenso (1814-1883), an Anglican bishop of Natal, who roused much opposition by views on the Old Testament that were at the time regarded as heretical. His chief work was a Critical Examination of the Pentateuch, in which he argued that the so-called books of Moses were really written after the return of the Jews from Exile.

25. Sancho Panza, Don Quixote's squire.

31. lee shore, a shore on to which the wind blows (the lee side of a ship being the sheltered side).

P. 29, l. 24. You are told: by St. James, v. 13.

P. 32, l. 20. Baruch, the scribe: Jeremiah, xxxvi.

21. St. Stephen, Acts of the Apostles, vii.

P. 33, l. 4. Peter's pence : an annual tribute of one penny paid at the feast of St. Peter to the See of Rome. This tax was collected in England from 740 till it was abolished by Henry VIII. (Brewer's Dictionary of Phrase and Fable).

P. 37, l. 6. child of David: II Samuel, xii. 15-23; child of

Jeroboam: I Kings, xiv. 17.

9. Barzillai: II Samuel, xix.

P. 38, l. 18. "Oh, stranger . . ": epitaph on the Spartans who fell at Thermopylae, fighting against the Persian invaders of Greece, B.C. 480. The Greek may be read in Herodotus, vii. 228, and a Latin version by Cicero in Čicero, Tusc. i. 42, 101.

P. 40, l. 17. knitted: I can find no satisfactory explanation of

this epithet or of 'smoothed.'

LECTURE II

P. 43, l. 34. Teniers, a great Antwerp painter of peasant life, 1610-1690.

P. 45, l. 26. "They carved ...": Scott, Lay of the Last Min-

strel, Canto I.

P. 46, l. 5 fresco: "a kind of painting executed in water-colour on a wall, ceiling, etc., of which the mortar or plaster is not quite dry, so that the colours sink in and become more durable" (N.E.D.).

34. Armstrongs, guns made by the great firm of that name

at Newcastle-on-Tyne. P. 47, 1, 24. Inigo Jones, a great architect in the reigns of James I. and Charles I. "His magnificent design for a royal palace at Whitehall—1200 feet long by 900 feet wide—was never executed, though a part of it, the Banqueting Hall, was built, and may still be seen in Parliament Street" (Stanley Leathes, *The People in Adventure*).

- P. 48, l. 19. Wharnside, a mountain in N.W. Yorkshire, between Settle and Hawes.
- P. 49, 1.31. Lar, tutelary deity of the home : a Roman worship of Etruscan origin.
- P. 51, l. 15. fantastic: though this epithet may be applied to some of the extravagances of Gothic architecture, it must not be taken as true of the architecture of Gothic cathedrals as a whole.
 - P. 52, l. 11. to the Greeks-foolishness, I Corinthians, i. 23.
- 20. aegis, the name given to "the mantle with the serpent fringes" which the goddess Athené is generally represented as wearing, though it is also the name of the shield of Zeus, the supreme god of the Greeks.
- P. 54, l. 5. Tetzel, the monk whose sale of Indulgences first roused Luther to protest against Rome.
- 29. Agoraia: Greek adjective, "belonging to the market-place (Agora)."
- P. 57, l. 14. Gennesaret: a busy little town on the shore of the lake of Galilee in the time of Christ.
- 15. proper, represented in the natural colouring, an heraldic term : Lat. proprius.
- 15. field: defined in Guillim's *Heraldry* as "the whole surface of the shield overspread with some metal, colour or fur."
- P. 58, 1. 19. Olympus, Pelion, Ossa, mountains of Thessaly, celebrated in Greek poetry and mythology. The giants were fabled to have piled Ossa upon Pelion in their war upon the gods.
- 25. greenbacks, American paper-money: a name first given in popular speech to notes issued by the United States Government in 1862, on account of the devices printed in green ink on the back.
- 31. Plutus, the Greek god of Wealth; Pallas, the Greek goddess of Wisdom, identified with the Roman Minerva.
- P. 60, l. 8. "they should take . . .": Wordsworth, Rob Roy's Grave.
 - 34. Solomon: I Kings, x. 27.
- P. 61, 1. 18. the Parthenon, the splendid temple of Pallas on the Acropolis at Athens.
- 19. Bolton priory, in Wharfedale, West Riding of Yorkshire.

P. 62.1. 14. Critias, the title of an unfinished dialogue by Plato, a continuation of the *Timaeus*. "The fiction [of the island Atlantis] has exercised a great influence over the imagination of later ages. As many attempts have been made to find the great island as to discover the country of the lost tribes. . . The story had also an effect on the early navigators of the sixteenth century" (Jowett, *Dialogues of Plato*, vol. 3).

18. genesis, birth.

P. 63, l. 25. Dura: Daniel, iii. 1.

LECTURE III

P. 66, 1. 20. Tintoret, Venetian painter (1512-1594). See Ruskin, Modern Painters, passim; or J. A. Symonds' essay, A Venetian Medley (Peacock's English Essays).

P. 68,1.18. pacis imponere morem. 'to lay down the conditions of peace,' a quotation from the great passage in Aeneid, vi., in

which Virgil expounds the mission of Rome:

"'Tis thine, O Rome, to rule: this mission ne'er forego:

Thine art, thy science this—to dictate to thy foe,
To spare who yield submission, and bring the haughty low."

20. Gothic chivalry, feudalism. In A.D. 378 the Gothic cavalry defeated the Romans at the battle of Adrianople. For a thousand years after this—till the English yeomen won the day at Crecy in 1346—cavalry was the most important arm of warfare.

33. blind: Dandolo, the blind old Doge who led in person the successful Venetian assault on Constantinople in 1204, and brought the bronze horses thence to St. Mark's. See Byron's

Childe Harold's Pilgrimage, IV. xii.

P. 70, l. 4. Genseric, the great Vandal conqueror of the fifth century, who ravaged Italy and North Africa and sacked Rome in 455.

4. Suwarrow (Suvárov), 1729-1800, the most famous of Russian generals: he won great victories over the Turks and Poles.

10. war in America: Ruskin, like so many Englishmen of his time, judged the North unfairly in the American Civil War (1861-5).

P. 71, 1. 29. Olympic: the national games of ancient Greece held at Olympia in the Peloponnese every fourth year.

P. 73, I. 23. the greatest of our English thinkers, Carlyle. The quotation is from Sartor Resartus, Bk. II., ch. 8.

P. 76, 1. 22. Mr. Helps, afterwards Sir Arthur Helps, 1813-1875, clerk to the Privy Council, an essayist of some distinction.

P. 77, l. 19. Müller's Dorians: the History and Antiquities of the Doric Race, by C. O. Müller, professor in the University of NOTES 101

Göttingen—a work of deservedly high repute, though much of it is now out of date.

P. 78, l. 19. battle of Corinth, 394 B.C., in which Sparta defeated a formidable attack of the Argives and Corinthians and recovered her prestige in the Peloponnese.

20. Gettysburg, a battle in the American Civil War, July 1863.

P. 79, l. 6. the "London" (Captain Martin), wrecked on Jan. 11, 1866, in the bay of Biscay, on a voyage to Melbourne.

36. many inventions: Ecclesiastes, vii. 29, "This only have I found, that God made man upright; but they have sought out many inventions."

P. 80, l. 4. my righteousness . . . : Job, xxvii. 6.

P. 82, l. 30. robed in consuming fire: in allusion to the Greek myth of the poisoned shirt which Deianeira sent to Heracles.

P. 83, I. 3. north and south minds: in allusion to the American war.

29. the god of flies: Beelzebub.

P. 86, l. 4. Britomart: see the story in Spenser's Facric Queene, Bk. III.

P. 87, I. 9. Exeter Hall, a hall for public meetings in London, especially used for gatherings of the Evangelical party in the Church of England.

14. little Bethels, chapels or meeting-houses of the smaller religious sects.

P. 88, 1. 39. "turns to coal": George Herbert, The Temple:

"Only a sweet and virtuous soul,
Like seasoned timber, never gives;
But though the whole world turn to coal,
Then chiefly lives."

P. 91, 1. 35. integer vitae: Horace, Odes, I. xxii.

P. 92, l. 6. canonry: or rather, 'cannonry.' Ruskin is playing upon the word; or, perhaps, he means to remind us that 'canon' and 'cannon' are originally the same word (op. 'ordinance' and 'ordnance'); or he may use the French spelling of 'canon' because 'chivalry' comes from the French.

P. 95, l. 18. Bibles being attacked: cp. Lecture I., p. 27.

36. In Righteousness: Revelation, xix. 11.

QUESTIONS

LECTURE I

1. To what cause does Ruskin attribute the mutual distrust of rich and poor?

2. How do you define 'work' and 'play'?

3. To what grounds of distinction between 'classes' does Ruskin take exception?

4. What is "the lawful basis of wealth"?

5. What is the power of wealth which is harmful?

6. "Stupidity is always the basis of the Judas bargain" (p. 24). Explain and discuss.

7. Why is it wrong to invest in foreign government loans?

8. Suggest a classification of different kinds of work. On what principle would you propose to reward work?

9. Distinguish between true and false notions of "Divine

Service."

10. What are the three characteristics of "all wise work"?

LECTURE II

1. What is the connection between 'taste' and 'morals'?

2. What is "peculiarly a modern phenomenon" in architecture? And what significance does Ruskin find in it?

3. What, in Ruskin's view, does the architecture of Gothic cathedrals reveal of the life and aims of the builders?

4. What are the "three distinct schools of European architecture"?

5. What is Ruskin's charge against the Political Economy of the nineteenth century?

6. What is the "thrice accursed doctrine of the modern economist"? Is Ruskin's condemnation of the doctrine justified?

7. Moralists have often been accused of lacking a sense of humour. Can this charge be brought against Ruskin?

8. What could a Bradford manufacturer say in his own defence ? 102

LECTURE III

1. What are the three kinds of "noble war"?

2. What is Ruskin's description of the attitude of women of the upper classes to war? Is it true of the war of 1914? If not, how do you account for the change?

3. How far does Carlyle's account of the causes of war (p. 73)

seem to you true?

4. Quote Milton's lines about the Dorian warriors.

5. What is Ruskin's view of human nature? Compare it with that held by any other great writers.

6. What is the history of the words 'generous' and 'gentle' (p. 80)? What inference does Ruskin draw? Is it a fair one?

7. How does Ruskin criticise "the principle of non-intervention" (p. 84)? To what questions of foreign policy is he referring?

8. Is a man who volunteers for military service 'sentimental' (p. 85)?

9. Ought a soldier to take part in politics?

10. "Vow yourselves crusaders to redeem that sacred sepulchre" (p. 92). Explain this.

11. What are the chief figures of speech made use of by oratory? Find examples in this lecture.

12. What do you learn from these lectures of Ruskin's own tastes and studies? What authors had probably influenced him most deeply?

SUBJECTS FOR ESSAYS

- 1. Is labour a blessing or a curse?
- 2. Ennobling and debasing ideas of commerce.
- 3. Architecture as the revelation of a nation's life and ideals.
- 4. Is war wholly an evil?
- 5. "No great art ever yet rose upon earth but among a nation of soldiers" (p. 65). Discuss this.
- 6. "A nation once utterly corrupt can only be redeemed by a military despotism" (p. 88). Discuss this.
- 7. "It is the cheapness and abundance of our coal which have made us what we are" (p. 89). Discuss this.
 - 8. What can women do to prevent war? (p. 94).

HELPS TO FURTHER STUDY

- 1. Biographies of Ruskin, (a) by F. Harrison in the English Men of Letters Series (Macmillan), (b) by Collingwood (Methuen), (c) by Sir E. T. Cook (Allen and Unwin).
- 2. Ruskin's teaching on Political Economy may be studied best in his volume of lectures, Unto this Last, and in the four volumes of letters to working-men, Fors Clavigera. On Architecture, see especially The Seven Lamps of Architecture and The Stones of Venice. On War, read the passage in Modern Painters, vol. iii. pt. iv. ch. xviii. § 32. A good idea of Ruskin's teaching, and the range and power of his writings, may be got from two delightful volumes of Selections from the Writings of John Ruskin (Allen and Unwin).
- 3. E. Barker's English Political Thought from Herbert Spencer to to-day (Home University Library) contains an interesting account of Ruskin's position in relation to other leaders of thought in England.
- 4. For an analysis of some of the elements of Ruskin's style: J. H. Fowler, Nineteenth Century Prose (A. and C. Black).

GLASGOW: PRINTED AT THE UNIVERSITY PRESS BY ROBERT MACLEHOSE AND CO. LTD.

ENGLISH LITERATURE

SERIES FOR SCHOOLS

General Editor

J. H. FOWLER, M.A.

LATE ASSISTANT MASTER AT CLIFTON COLLEGE.

The Special Features of this Series include the following

- (1) The volumes are graduated in difficulty with special reference to the range of study which may be expected in Secondary Schools.
- (2) The text of each book is sufficient for one term's work.
- (3) The texts are not elaborately annotated, but are provided with such Introductions and Notes as may help to an intelligent appreciation of the text. In the choice of matter for notes it is recognised that the pupil wants such knowledge as grown-up readers also want for the enjoyment of literature—not philological learning.
- (4) Glossaries of difficult words and Exercises intended to enlarge the pupil's own vocabulary.
- (5) A set of *Questions*, carefully chosen so as to direct the study of the book upon right lines and discourage cramming of unessential facts.
- (6) Suggested subjects for Short Essays.
- (7) Passages suitable for Repetition—Prose as well as Verse.
- (8) Helps to further study. A short list of books, with explanation of the way in which, or purpose for which, they are to be used.
- (9) Many of the volumes are illustrated.
- (10) Where "abridgment" has been found necessary to bring a book within the compass of one term's reading, it has been made by careful selection. The kind of "abridgment" which seeks to give an author's substance in a condensed form has no place in this series; except that (following Lamb's precedent) easy versions of the great stories of the world used by the poets that children will read later have been admitted.

English Literature Series for Schools

General Editor: J. H. FOWLER, M.A.

(1) POETRY AND GENERAL LITERATURE.

FIRST YEAR.

ANDERSEN, HANS—Stories from. Selected by Mrs. P. A. BARNETT. 18. 3d. ARABIAN NIGHTS—Stories from. Edited by A. T. Martin, M.A. 18. 3d.

BALLADS OLD AND NEW. Selected and Edited by H. B. COTTERILL, M.A. Part I., 18. 3d. Part II., 18. 3d.

BUNYAN-PILGRIM'S PROGRESS. Abridged and Edited by C. F. KNOX.
*DEFOE-ROBINSON CRUSOE. Abridged and Edited by J. HUTCHISON. 18. 6d.

Cloth gilt, 2s. net.

GRIMM'S FAIRY TALES—A Selection. Edited by A. T. Martin, M.A. 1s. 3d.

*GULLIVER'S TRAVELS. Abridged and Edited by G. C. Earle, B.A. 1s. 6d. Cloth

gilt, 2s. net.

HAWTHORNE'S STORIES FROM A WONDER-BOOK FOR GIRLS AND BOYS.

Edited by J. H. FOWLER, M.A. zs. 6d.

HAWTHORNE'S TANGLEWOOD TALES. Edited by J. H. Fowler, M.A. Parts I, and II. 18. 6d. each.

HEROES OF ASGARD. By A. & E. Kearv. Adapted and Ed. by M. R. Earle. 1s. 6d. HINDU TALES FROM THE SANSKRIT. Translated by S. M. MITRA. Edited by M. A. Bell. 1s. 6d.

Mrs. A. Bell. 1s. 6d.

NJAL AND GUNNAR. Edited by H. Malim, M.A. 1s. 6d.

ODYSSEY, THE BOY'S. By W. C. PERRY. Edited by T. S. PEPPIN, M.A. 2s. 6d. PANDAV PRINCES, THE. Edited by WALLACE GANDY. 18, 3d.

PERSIAN HERO, A. Stories from the "Shah Nameh." Ed. by W. Gandy. 18. 3d. SPENSER, TALES FROM. By SOPHIA H. MACLEHOSE. 18. 9d.

*TROY, THE TALE OF. Re-told in English by Aubrey Stewart. Edited by T. S. Peppin, M.A. 2s. 6d. Cloth gilt, 3s. net.

WANDERINGS OF RAMA, PRINCE OF INDIA. Edited by W. GANDY. 18. 3d.

SECOND YEAR.

CERVANTES-DON QUIXOTE. Abridged and Edited by C. F. KNOX. 1s. 9d.

CHILDREN OF THE DAWN. Old Tales of Greece. By E. F. Buckley. With Introduction by A. Sidgwick; Notes and Subjects for Essays by J. H. Fowler. Parts I. and II. is. 3d. each.

DICKENS'S DAVID COPPERFIELD. Abridged by H. A. TREBLE, M.A. is. 6d. IRVING'S RIP VAN WINKLE, The Legend of Sleepy Hollow, and other Sketches. Edited by H. M. BULLER, M.A. is. 6d.

THE ISLE OF GRAMARYE, OF TALES OF OLD BRITAIN. By E. P. ROBERTS. Part I. 18. 3d. Part II. 18. 3d.

KINGSLEY'S ANDROMEDA, with the Story of Perseus prefixed. Edited by GEORGE YELD, M.A. 18.3d.

LAMB'S TALES FROM SHAKESPEARE. Edited by H. A. TREBLE, M.A. First Series. 1s. 6d. Second Series. 1s. 6d.

LONGFELLOW'S SHORTER POEMS. Edited by H. B. COTTERILL, M.A. is. 3d. *MALORY'S MORTE D'ARTHUR. Edited by Dorothy M. Macardle. is. 6d. Cloth gilt, 2s. net.

POETRY ILLUSTRATIVE OF ENGLISH HISTORY, A BOOK OF. Edited by G. Dowse, B.A. Part I. A.D. 61-1485. Part II. The Tudors and Stuarts. Part III. The Hanoverian Dynasty. 1s. each.

REYNARD THE FOX. Edited by H. A. TREBLE, M.A. IS. 6d. SCOTT—IVANHOE. Abridged and Edited by F. Johnson. 2s. 6d.

(I) POETRY AND GENERAL LITERATURE-Continued.

SECOND YEAR-Continued.

SCOTT-THE TALISMAN. Abridged and Edited by F. Johnson. 25, 6d.

SERTUM: A GARLAND OF PROSE NARRATIVES. Selected and Edited by J. H. FOWLER and H. W. M. PARR. Book I. Sixteenth to Eighteenth Centuries. 15, 3d. Book II. Nineteenth Century. 15, 3d.

WHITE'S SELBORNE—Selections. Edited by F. A. BRUTON, M.A. 40 Illustrations.

THIRD YEAR.

AUSTEN'S PRIDE AND PREJUDICE. Abridged by H. A. TREBLE, M.A. 15. 3d. BYRON'S CHILDE HAROLD. Cantos III. and IV. Ed. by J. H. FOWLER, M.A. 15. 6d. ELIOT'S SILAS MARNER. Abridged by May Copsey. 15. 6d.

MACAULAY'S ESSAY ON ADDISON. Edited by R. F. Winch, M.A. is. 6d. PEACOCK'S MAID MARIAN. Edited by F. A. Cavenagh, M.A. is. 6d.

POEMS, LONGER NARRATIVE (18th Century). Ed. by G. G. LOANE, M.A. is. 6d. POEMS, LONGER NARRATIVE (19th Century). Ed. by G. G. LOANE, M.A. is. 3d. SHAKESFEARE—Select Scenes and Passages from the English Historical Plays. Edited by C. H. Spence, M.A. is.

— MIDSUMMER-NIGHT'S DREAM. Edited by P. T. CRESWELL, M.A. 18. 3d. THOREAU, CHAPTERS FROM WALDEN. Edited by A. CRUSE. 25.

FOURTH YEAR.

ADDISON, ESSAYS FROM. Edited by J. H. FOWLER, M.A. 1s. 6d.

BRITISH ORATORS. Passages Selected and Arranged by J. H. FOWLER, M.A. ISFIRST BOOK OF ENGLISH PROSE FOR REPETITION. J. H. FOWLER, M.A. ISPROSE FOR REPETITION. Selected and Arr. by NORMAN L. FRAZER, M.A. IS. 3d.

FIFTH YEAR.

*BROWNING, SELECTIONS FROM. Edited by Mrs. M. G. GLAZEBROOK. 18. 3d-Cloth gilt, 18. 9d. net.

PROSE, SEVENTEENTH CENTURY. Selected and Edited by E. Lee. 18. 3d. RUSKIN'S CROWN OF WILD OLIVE. Edited by J. H. FOWLER, M.A. 18. 3d. *RUSKIN'S SESAME AND LILIES. Edited by A. E. ROBERTS, M.A. 18. 3d. Cloth gilt, 18. 9d. net.

SIDNEY'S DEFENCE OF POESY. Edited by D. M. MACARDLE. 18. 3d.

(2) HISTORICAL SECTION.

In view of the movement for improving the teaching both of History and of English in schools, the question is often asked how an inelastic time-table is to find room for all the demands made upon it. One key to the difficulty, at least, is to be found in the proper correlation of these subjects; and a prominent aim of this series is to assist in correlating the study of History and Geography with the study of Literature and with practice in the art of English Composition.

The special features which have distinguished the "English Literature Series for Schools" are continued, viz.:—Short Introductions (biographical, historical and stylistic) and brief Notes; Glossary (where necessary); Questions and Subjects for Essays; Passages for Repetition; Helps to Further Study. Maps and Chronological Tables are inserted where

they seem likely to be useful.

SECOND YEAR.

GOLDEN DEEDS, A BOOK OF. By CHARLOTTE M. YONGE. Abridged and Edited by Mrs. H. H. WATSON. Parts I. and II. 1s. 6d. each.

HISTORY, A BOOK OF POETRY ILLUSTRATIVE OF ENGLISH. Edited by G. Dowse, B.A. Part I. A.D. 61-1485. Part II. The Tudors and Stuarts. Part III. The Hanoverian Dynasty. 1s. each.

(2) HISTORICAL SECTION—Continued.

SECOND YEAR-Continued.

PLUTARCH'S LIFE OF ALEXANDER. North's Translation. Edited by H. W. M. PARR, M.A. 15. 3d.

PLUTARCH'S LIFE OF JULIUS CAESAR. North's Translation. Edited by H. W. M. PARR, M.A. 18, 6d.

SCOTT'S TALES OF A GRANDFATHER. Abridged and Edited by J. HUTCHISON. First Series. 1s. 3d. Second Series. 1s. 3d.

SOUTHEY'S LIFE OF NELSON, EPISODES FROM. Edit. by C. H. Spence, M.A. 1s. INDIAN HISTORY, TALES FROM. By Mrs. A. S. Roe. 1s. 6d.

THIRD YEAR.

BATES. A NATURALIST ON THE AMAZONS. Abridged and Edited by F. A. BRUTON, M.A. 80 Illustrations. 2s. 6d.

BORROW. WANDERINGS IN SPAIN. Edited by F. A. CAVENAGH, M.A. 1s. 6d. CAVENDISH. LIFE OF WOLSEY. Edited by Mary Tout, M.A. 1s. 3d.

MACAULAY. ESSAY ON CLIVE. Edited by H. M. BUILER, M.A. 18. 6d.

MACAULAY. ESSAY ON WARREN HASTINGS. Ed. by H. M. Buller, M.A. 18.9d.

MACAULAY, NARRATIVES FROM. Edited by F. Johnson. 18, 5d.
MOTLEY. THE RISE OF THE DUTCH REPUBLIC. Narratives from selected

MOTLEY. THE RISE OF THE DUTCH REPUBLIC. Narratives from, selected and edited by J. HUTCHISON. 18. 6d.

NAPIER. HISTORY OF THE PENINSULAR WAR. Narratives from, edited by M. Fanshawe, B.A. is. 3d.

PARKMAN. PIONEERS OF FRANCE IN THE NEW WORLD. Selections from, edited by Kenneth Forbes, M.A. 18.3d.

SHAKESPEARE. Select Scenes and Passages from the English Historical Plays. Edited by C. H. Spence, M.A. 1s.

STOW. A SURVEY OF LONDON. Selections from, edited by A. BARTER. 18. 3d.

FOURTH YEAR.

CARLYLE. ABBOT SAMSON. Chapters from "Past and Present" Book II. Edited by F. A. CAVENAGH, M.A. 18. 6d.

GIBBON. THE DECLINE AND FALL OF THE ROMAN EMPIRE. Narratives from, selected and edited by J. H. FOWLER, M.A. First Series. 18, 3d.

FIFTH YEAR.

GIBBON. THE AGE OF THE ANTONINES. (Chapters I.-III. of the Decline and Fall.) Edited by J. H. FOWLER, M.A. rs. 3d.

MACAULAY, ESSAY ON SIR W. TEMPLE. Ed. by G. A. TWENTYMAN, M. A. 18.6d. MACAULAY. ESSAY ON FRANCES BURNEY. Ed. by A. D. GREENWOOD. 18.6d.

- *** The titles have been arranged in order of difficulty, and as divided provide a five years' course of study.
 - ** The volumes marked * can be obtained with special cloth gilt covers.

MACMILLAN AND CO., LIMITED ST. MARTIN'S STREET, LONDON, W.C. 2.

MACMILLAN'S LIFE & WORK SERIES

Specially designed to meet the requirements of Central, Continuation, and similar Schools and Classes

NOW READY

A Brief Sketch of Social and Industrial History

By Edward Cressy, Author of "An Outline of Industrial History." Crown 8vo. 3s. 6d.

An Introduction to Technical Electricity

By S. G. STARLING, B.Sc., A.R.C.Sc. Illustrated. Crown 8vo. 3s. 6d.

Introduction to Textile Chemistry

By Harry Harper, B.Sc. (Lond.), A.R.C.S. Crown 8vo. 3s. 6d.

Principles and Practice of Business

By G. MAIRET, Lecturer in Commerce at the City of London College.

FORTHCOMING VOLUMES

An Introduction to Engineering

By J. Duncan, Wh.Ex., M.I.Mech.E.

Introduction to Engineering Drawing

By J. Duncan, Wh.Ex., M.I.Mech.E.

Volumes on Civics and Economics, Applied Mathematics, Commercial Geography, and other subjects are being arranged.

LONDON: MACMILLAN AND CO., LTD.

FIRST BOOKS OF SCIENCE

Globe 8vo. 2s. 6d. each. Sewed, 2s. each.

- A First Book of Arithmetic. By S. Lister, B.Sc. Without Answers. 2s. 6d. Sewed, 2s. With Answers. 2s. 9d. Sewed, 2s. 3d.
- A First Book of Geometry. By J. V. H. Coates, B.Sc.
- A First Book of Practical Mathematics. By T. S. USHERWOOD, B.Sc., and C. J. A. TRIMBLE, B.A.
- A First Book of Physics. By L. Lownds, B.Sc. (Lond.), Ph.D.
- A First Book of Experimental Science.

 Arranged by W. A. Whitton, M.Sc., from Lessons in Science by Sir Richard Gregory and A. T. Simmons, B.Sc.
- A First Book of General Science. An Introduction to the Scientific Study of Animal and Plant Life. By A. T. SIMMONS, B.Sc., and A. J. V. GALE, B.A.
- A First Book of Applied Electricity. By S. R. ROGET, M.A.
 - A First Book of Chemistry. By W. A. WHITTON, M.Sc.
 - A First Book of Botany. By ELIZABETH HEALEY, Associate of the Royal College of Science, London.
 - A First Book of Nature Study. By E. Sten-HOUSE, B.Sc.

LONDON: MACMILLAN AND CO., LTD.

FIRST BOOKS OF SCIENCE

Globe 8vo. 2s. 6d. each. Sewed, 2s. each.

- A First Book of Zoology. By T. H. Burlend, M.A., B.Sc.
- A First Book of Physiology and Hygiene. By Gertrude D. Cathcart, M.B., B.Sc.
- A First Book of Home Science. By J. HART-SMITH, A.R.C.Sc., and E. M. HART-SMITH.
- A First Book of Rural Science. By J. J. GREEN, B.Sc.
- A First Book of School Gardening. By ALEX. LOGAN, Formerly of Gordon Schools, Huntly.
- A First Book of Commercial Geography.

 By T. Alford Smith, B.A., F.R.G.S., St. Dunstan's College,
 Catford, London.
- A First Book of General Geography. By B. C. Wallis, B.Sc., F.R.G.S.
- A First Geography of the British Isles. By W. M. Carey, M.A., B.Sc.
 - A First Book of Physical Geography. By W. M. CAREY, M.A., B.Sc.
- A First Book of Geology. By Albert Wilmore, D.Sc., Headmaster, Secondary School, Colne.

LONDON: MACMILLAN AND CO., LIMITED

READABLE BOOKS

IN

NATURAL KNOWLEDGE

Fully Illustrated. Globe 8vo. Price 2s. 6d. each.

THREADS IN THE WEB OF LIFE

By MARGARET R. THOMSON, and Professor J. ARTHUR THOMSON, M.A.

WONDERS OF PHYSICAL SCIENCE

By E. E. FOURNIER, B.Sc.

TILLERS OF THE GROUND

By MARION I. NEWBIGIN, D.Sc.

THE PAST AT OUR DOORS

OR, THE OLD IN THE NEW AROUND US

By W. W. Skeat, M.A.

ACHIEVEMENTS OF CHEMICAL SCIENCE

By Professor J. C PHILIP, M.A., D.Sc., etc.

THE CHANGEFUL EARTH

AN INTRODUCTION TO THE STUDY OF THE ROCKS

By Professor Grenville Cole, F.R.S. (Also Egyptian Edition. Sewed, 2s.)

LONDON: MACMILLAN AND CO., LTD.

